App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 163-26

Previous References

(Courts)

cl. 307-24 (1-5)
" 66-27-1

Serial Nos. 1–6

Later References

[illegible]
cls 178-28, 182
" 163-28, 64
" 30-2

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Six

Part B for November 1927

SUBJECT

Recommendation of Finance Committee ...
clerical ... Imperial establishment ... terms
Additional civil covert.

Gorno p. 23 28-6 By 5.11.27

For Regr to Chief Comm
... Comptroller

Pre-check order ... in the above.

Mauss
23.11.27

G. R. S. III—11

1926

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Court

NOTES.

File No. 76.

FILE HEADING.

Recommendations of Jute Loans' Delays

Committee.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1881—GPB—80,000—1s3-21

G.R.S. III—8]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D.O. ~~[illegible]~~ IMMEDIATE.
CONFIDENTIAL Roc 922 26-27

CHIEF COURT
OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.
Dated 8th February 1927.

* not to be found in the file 23/11/27

Dear Sir,

With reference to your confidential D.O. No.P.4006/Cts. dated the 7th instant, stating that the date of meeting between the members of Government and the Judges of the Chief Court is provisionally fixed on the 14th instant at about 1 P.M., I am desired to state that as there is a Full Bench Sitting of the Chief Court fixed for that day, it will be preferable if the said meeting is fixed for any Wednesday or Saturday which may be convenient to the members of Government, after the 14th instant.

Some other date than 19th Saturday may be fixed as 19th is fixed for the meeting of the Dept.

1st hour 8/2 8/2

Please reply latest date will be intimated after Dewan returns

Submit to Dewan.

lee 9/2

H. Lee 9/2 with Chief Lee 9/2 compld.

Yours Sincerely,
Thimmaiah
8/2/27

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

8/2 9.2

Intimated Compld

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Sealed with addst to the Regr. CC. 9/2

7) approd 9/2

8) Time & resubmit to Dewan. 9/2

2

G.R.S. III—3] 361/6 pt 6

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The letter to the Registrar, Chief Court, has been issued.

It was ascertained from the Dewan's Office that no files are being sent to Camp. For orders if this may await the Dewan's return.

Apr 9/2

Please obtain me address of the P.S.O we may write to him

9/2

I understand the P.S.O's address is not known ~~[illegible]~~

Submitted for orders

[illegible]

9/2

Submitted for perusal:

2nd Asst 9/2

3rd Asst

Finance Secy

Chief Secy

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

12
Yr
8-1
9.2

54/49 11/2

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
12 FEB. 19[illegible]
No. 3441

OFFICE OF THE
THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 FEB. 1927
No. 23

IMMEDIATE.

43

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 12th February '27.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No. P.4048/Cts. dated 9th February 1927, I am desired to request to be informed whether it is intended that all the Judges of the Chief Court have to attend the Meeting referred to therein.

Yours sincerely,

12/2/27

TO-

T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
Judicial Branch,
BANGALORE.

Submitted for orders.

It seems desirable that all the Judges should be present at the meeting as the matter to be discussed relates to the Civil Procedure Code. The Govt is under the impression that all the Judges will be present.

Dewan. 12/2

The information may be furnished after the return of Dewan.

12-2

The Judges of the Chief Court meet on Wednesday or a Saturday after the 4th inst. Wednesday the 16th inst is a Muhammadan Holiday. On Saturday the 19th the Divl. Meetings Comes off. From the 23rd onwards the L.C. and Civil

From the 28th March

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—6]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

17th will suit me & 20th Sunday will not suit.

Lee
13/2

The PA Committee Sittings are being held and I shall be busy on 17th afternoon. I have also a Gen. Mtg with the Judges in the morning on 17th —

Miss
4.2.27

Sometime on Sunday (20th) would be convenient to me. I intend to be at K.K.S on the 16th & may not be able to get back on 17th morning. However I shall adjust my movements to whatever day shows suits the Dewan —

CRS
13/2

N.B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Asst Secy
Legis. with Compts

as regards the Dewan will be on tour in the Madras Ch. Govt Bungalow date fixed after the 10th of March is considered by the 1st member to be too far off.

2) Subject as ordered & instructed by the 1st member

Dewan) 12/2

If tomorrow (the 14th) is not convenient to the Judges the meeting may be held either on Thursday the 17th or at least on Sunday the 20th, as the Dewan would like to have the matters considered early. The Members of Council & the Judges may be consulted as to the above date.

Miss 13.2.27

3618 P

C. No. 922
26-27

65

CHIEF COURT
OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.
Dated 14th February 1927.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. No. P.4110/Cts of this date, I am desired to state that the Judges will meet the members of Government at 1 P.M. on Monday the 21st instant as stated therein.

Yours Sincerely,
Meenakshisundaram
14/2/27

T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
BANGALORE.

Sent for perusal

The Regn Chief Counsel says
that the Chief Justice
has an engagement
on the 17th morning

14/2

(6 H. S. III—3)

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

28/ The Chairman was pleased to order that the meeting will be held on Monday the 21st inst at 1 P.M.

The chief Secretary & Law Secy will be present & the Finance Secy may be sent for.

L. D. Secy) 14/2

28/ Inform all Members of Council 14-2

30. Draft DO Subd 14/2

Secy (Ld) 14/2

31/ Approved 14/2.

Issue

Grand no P 4110 14/2

Issued with reference to para 2 of Finance Secy's U.O. No. 3579 dated 14/2/27

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE MEMBER OF COUNCIL
17 FEB. 1927.
No. 402

3579 14/2/27

ISSUE & RESUBMIT

3890
P

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
25 FEB. 27
No. 6279

8922
24/2

24 I send with a draft of the summary of discussions with a draft letter to the Regn Chief Courts for approval.

(Sen 28)
at them

24/2

8d
24/2

24/2

10
24/2

For kind perusal
Dewan.

8d
25/2

25/2

Jm
25/2

8d
25/2.

Jmc -

26/2

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

To the Registrars of the Chief Courts
No 4251 J 26.2.27
Regarding to move the parties to make
final proposals re the cost of new
Courts and other matters & also to state
the number of appeals that are transferable
to the 2nd Judge and 3rd Judge from

Gno 226
P

10

9

C.R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 263 of 1972

Serial No.

On the 6 three points to be clarified
(1) Whether in which CD may issue an order
(2) Whether in which the have to submit proposals
(3) Whether in which Secy has to take further action

5/ There is scarcely any need for further noting & discussion.

3/ A Draft G.O. thanking the members, proposing action as repeatedly suggested & sanctioning the present proposals may be submitted for Govt in Council.

3/ The Draft may be submitted to Finance Secy who may please note the Extra Cost.

4/ All the proposals may come into effect from 1st July so as not to disturb present budget.

Lee
5/3.

SD
5/3.

VS
5/3

41 Typed draft/memo

Case
11/3
April
11/3

I member)

VS
15/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(2)
11

10

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Chief Secr with Empls

The accompanying draft re Laws' Delgn Ord's Repar. may, with Dowais permission, be finalised tomorr.

~~The~~ Asst Secr has promised to get approx. figures from Govt before 1. P.M. tomorr

~~the~~

Dc 43
15/3/43

For tomorrow's meeting
15/3

Mr Edwards
15/3

43 Please get an draft typed as revised

15/3

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

12 1/

Extract from the Proceedings of the 795th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 16th March 1927. *19*

174. Subject. - Clause iii of the schedule.

Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for 1927-28.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

45) The grant on account of additional Courts and establishments recommended by the Chief Court may be tentatively fixed at Rs.1.2 lakhs including Process Establishment.

(Signed) Mirza M. Ismail,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-23

(G. R. S. 12)

W D 478—GPB—1,000—12-9-18

13

12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No File No. 263 of 1927

Subject.-

Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for 1927-28.

46 Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

47 Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9 * of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8—G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

*9. (a) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred, are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(b) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt.

5 18/3

48 Draft G.O. cubs for approval

9577/18/3

(Secy & D)

18/3

18/3

49 18/3/27

50 Draft appd. Please pursue the annexures & D.F.N. at once for Dewan's approval before he goes to Camp.

51 Rect of municipl, qualifns & Pleaders etc and also be included in Annexures.

9609/19/3

5 D.F.N. Asked

19/3

53 19/3/27

19/3

54 Secy

19/3

55 Chief Secretary

19/3

19/3

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject :—

Provision in the Budget of 1927-28 for Judicial Reforms.

56 On 30th September 1925 His Highness was graciously pleased to approve of the constitution of a Committee to consider the question of the Laws' Delays in the State. Government in their order No.P. Camp 40-52/Cts. 307-24-5 dated 3rd October 1925 appointed the Committee referred to above.

57 Government subsequently referred for the consideration of the Committee the question of investing the Munsiffs with magisterial powers and of giving civil work to Special Magistrates in places where the Munsiffs or Magistrates have at present not got sufficient civil or ciminal work.

58 The Committee commenced their sittings in the Chief Court on 24th October 1925 and after inviting and considering the opinions of Judicial officers, prominent advocates, the several Bar Associations in the State as well as a few selected members of the public concluded their sittings on the 28th August 1926 and have forwarded their report through the Chief Court.

59 On the recommendations of the Committee involving additional expenditure an informal meeting of the Dewan and Members of Government with the Judges of the Chief Court was held on 21st February 1927 in the Council Chamber and the subject was discussed with them, as a result of which, the Chief Court have submitted final proposals in the matter. These proposals and the question of providing funds for the judicial reforms in the Budget for 1927-28 were considered in Council held on 16th March 1927 and the following resolution was passed:-

> "The grant on account of additional courts and establishments recommended by the Chief Court may be tentatively fixed at 1.2 lakhs including Process establishment".

60 On the recommendations of the Committee Government propose to

15

issue the accompanying order. The Dewan and the Third Member of Council approve of it.

61 I beg to solicit the gracious approval of His Highness to the above resolution and to the draft order proposed to be issued.

K Chandy

Bangalore,
19th March 1927.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

16

Submitted to His Highness.

62

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First .....

Member of Council on the subject of Provision in ....

the Budget of 1927 - 28 for Judicial Reforms .....

.....

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail

19 th March 1927.

Dewan.

26
19/3

17

THE PALACE,

MYSORE.

March 26,1927.

My dear Mirza,

63 In returning herewith the file containing the draft order on Judicial reforms arising out of the report of the Laws'Delays'Committee, might I suggest some alteration in the wording of the first item of Annexure B(ii) 'Fixing minimum period for the disposal of several classes of litigation'? This certainly reads as if the object were to increase and not to reduce the law's delays.

64 It is true that the wording is taken direct from the resumé of recommendations attached to the Report, but a reference to paragraph 57 seems to indicate that this resumé was not very carefully drafted, since the paragraph begins "It is not meant that these periods should be construed as the minimum required for the disposal of the several classes of cases. They should, on the other hand, rather represent the maximum, as with the advent of sufficient relief to the existing courts, it must be possible for the different classes of cases being disposed of within even a shorter time."

65 May I take this opportunity of suggesting that the instructions to Mr.Subba Rao should include the striking of a balance sheet as between the income from Court Fees and the expenditure on Courts in the manner suggested in paragraph 335 of the Taxation Enquiry Committee's Report, if that is practicable in Mysore, and if it is not, the suggesting of such modifications in the forms of account as will make it practicable hereafter? You have apparently accepted the principle that process fees should pay the cost of the service rendered and it would seem to be at least desirable to know whether court fees as a whole are just doing this or are

are contributing to general revenues or an the other hand whether if the Courts are working at a loss.

Yours sincerely,

C. G. Todhunter

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.



Subject.

Draft order on Judicial Ref[illegible]

Order.

KKW

31/3/27

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

Serial No.

Just seen

69 The orders may issue at once after perusal of H H's orders ~~may~~ by 3rd M.

70 Sir Charles' suggestions may be agreed to. 'minimum' may be left out, & Mr. Subba Rao written to.

71 The necessary papers be entrusted to Chief Conv, & worked up in Secy to be attended to at once.

72 Typed or multigraphed Copies of the Appendices shd be put up with each file marking with a cross all matters in respect of which Secy pertains to Subjects of each file. Each subject may be sent separately as ~~marked~~ in the multigraphed copies.

Lee
2/4/27.

1670 3rd number.

8M
2-4

CBN
4/4.

2/4

Jmle
5/
4/4

Off Hfair Subs. Pl. Secy. has initialled on Ha. 12 (before subn of file to HH).

Done
By

To Comp & Pl. Com. no 78 & 6/4

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

Spr. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/R File No. 263 of 1926-27

Serial No. 1

To

The Registrar, High Court
Secretaries
Delay Laws Delay, Committee
& members of the Laws' Delays' committee
& Deputy Comrs of Bangalore, Mysore, Kanara and Coorg.

(G.O. No P.S. 259-53 dt 4.4.1927)
Passes orders on the recommendations of the Laws' Delays' committee
Send with draft letter N.S. Subba Rao, Spl Officer

18/4/27
21/4

Draft letter to Mr N.S. Subba Rao submitted for consideration

(Secy L.D.)
21/4

Secy (L.D.)
1st Memr
21.4

Please see my order on the Memo. (2 duplicates) Copy of matter, parallel to Mr Scott, be sent for his early action & finally disposed (V.O.) is necessary both for me & for Mr Scott to ensure speedy & complete disposal

22/4

Noted and returned with the above A copy of the G.O. and the items relating to it will be put up separately

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Resubmitted for orders if the draft letter may issue

22/4

(Secy L.D.)
1st Memr
23/4

23/4

(0964)
23/4
The idea - that we should each settle some how & then see how far ahead we are & finally O.

23/4/27

23.4

G.P.B.—5,000—30-3-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192

Serial No.

Land with copy of the P.O. marked as noted. Orders are directed on the draft P.O. to Mr N.S. Subbarao

25/4 27 25/4

Secy Law Depts (for Secy)

Apps (Superintendent Stamps)

29/4 29.4

1115-6/29/4

To

N. Subbarao Esqr M.A Barat Law. Spl. Officer.

P.O. Letter No 5738 dt 28-4-27

Invites reference to P.O. dt 4.4.27 in which the Govt have practically accepted the principle that process fees should pay the cost of the service rendered and requests that a balance sheet may be struck for the matter as recommended by the Taxation Inquiry Committee for Bombay. Add this is not possible to suggest such modifications in the forms of accounts as will make it possible to ascertain

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

~~The~~ draft is put up intimating the Regr to ~~Govt~~ do away the Expenditure to Rs 1.20 and indicating the items where reduction is possible. The Finl Secy & the member Secy to Govt (Secy to Govt)

90 Draft approved by Secy to Govt, I member + Finl Secy

91

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2j

General and Revenue Secretariat

C/S File No. 263 of 1926

Serial No.

2

To The Registrar Patna High Court
No. 5277 dated 5/4/27
Requesting him to forward
the revised proposals in the light
of the observations made in the
exceeding the provision made
in the budget for the

To

Mr. N.S. Subba Rao. Special Officer
D.O. Letter No 5-7380 dated 29.4.27

Invites reference to yr D/ 4.4.27 in
which you have accepted the principle
that persons for whom
service rendered and requests that a
balance sheet may be struck and forwarded with
a view to find out whether this is so and
recommended by the Taxation Inquiry
Committee and if this is not possible to
suggest such modifications in the forms
of account as will make it practicable
hereafter.

P.T.O.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 63 of 1927

Serial No.

From

The Registrar, High Court

Letter no: 991 dt 13.6.27

Forwards proposals regarding re-establishment consequences etc for the additional temporary and permanent courts limiting the cost to Rs 70,000/- per annum.

The proposals as regards revision of Pension of ministerial establishments of Civil Courts and of process establishment have been separately worked up in respective files.

In the present file, the question of additional courts temporary and permanent has to be dealt with.

The High Court have submitted revised proposals limiting the expenditure practically to Rs 70,000. But from the details furnished, it is seen that provision for rent for these Courts has not been made. The High Court further say that the establishment to the temporary Small Cause Court at Mysore cannot be reduced as suggested by Govt and the full complement would have to be given if these are taken into consideration the Cost will be much more than Rs 70,000/-

~~No reduction in~~ pay of the staff seems possible

It will also be seen that the cadre of Registrar of the permanent Court [illegible] pay in letter [illegible] Next subsequent [illegible] the increments

NOTE—Contra sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. (5) File No. 263 of 192 (G.2)

Serial No.

~~on the basis~~ ~~could have~~ ~~suggested only the~~ ~~minimum pay~~ ~~consistent~~ with the cost & which the staff ~~belong~~.

The financial Sects may be consulted

8/
25/6/27
5/7

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

The Chief Court have sent up a proposal with regard to the establishment of the Additional -- Courts both temporary and permanent. It will be seen from the statement of costs that there is a reduction to the extent of about Rs.4,000 in the cost of the additional District Judge's court at Bangalore as compared with the original proposal Flag "G". Similarly the cost is less for the Additional Sub-Court at Bangalore by Rs.1,800. With regard to the Small Causes Court at Mysore one extra clerk has been added by omitting the -- Gollars ~~who are in~~ proposed for the temporary Munsif Courts. In this connection kind reference is invited to the note put up at the end of the statement of -- costs by the Chief Court wherein it is stated that this Court should be provided with full Complement of hands equal to that of a permanent court more especially as the nature of of the work is not similar to that in a temporary Subordinate Judge's court. It is also stated that the suggestion made from Government to transfer - a few officials to this court from other courts will be considered and a reference made later on. With regard to the 3 temporary Munsif Courts -- 3 menials are provided for instead of 4 as originally proposed. With regard to Small Causes Courts at Bangalore one clerk was reduced and the cost of the furniture was also reduced to Rs.1,000 as - suggested by Govt. With regard to the permanent Munsifs Courts at Mandya, Nunsur and Closepet

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Serial No.

30 clerks instead of 36 as originally proposed are provided for. The amount provided for furniture for all the temporary courts has been reduced to Rs.3000 as ~~proposed~~ suggested by Govt. The whole cost of the courts has been worked out to be Rs.70,059 - per annum. In calculating the cost the ~~whole~~ actual -- cost for the next year is indicated, instead of the average cost. These proposals may perhaps be -- sanctioned. The Financial Secretary may be -- consulted.

Secy LD 8/6/7.

Jt Secy ~~with Comp[ts] in favour of opinion~~

Jt Secy Secy with Compts for favour of advice 8/7 12/7

PW. 12.7.27 12/7

"Please bring it" 8/7. 7.7.

H 97 12/7 690 13.7.

The provision made in the Government Order of April 1927 for the establishment of additional Courts -- permanent and temporary -- is Rs 70,000 for twelve months' expenditure. In the proposals submitted by the Chief Court the cost has been worked out to about this amount taking only minimum pay in the progressive scales of expenditure. If we take the financial average, the recurring cost will be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Serial No.

something more and without examining the proposition statement, the ~~actual~~ extra recurring expenditure involved in these proposals cannot be correctly determined.

I am not sure if the question of establishing new Courts can be finally settled before consideration of the report of Mr. Justice Doraswami Iyer as regards the combination of the Civil and Magisterial work wherever possible and the location of Courts. I venture to think that the extra cost under item VI particularly viz., Munsiffs' Courts at Mandya, Hunsur and Closepet may be considerably reduced if the scheme of combining Civil and Criminal Work in one officer be introduced in any or all of these places.

Subject to the above observations, the Chief Court's proposals appear to be on the whole suitable,

14.7.27

15.7.27

(Secy to G)

I prepare this and the same up after the meeting in Bangalore has taken place after the Hon. Convener & Dewan has decided, the report should be submitted for consideration at meeting

19/7

19.7

WD 2298—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 163 of 1926.

Serial No.

Subd

Extracts of 7 members orders have been taken for taking orders in the Connected file which has been referred to the Financial Secty for opinion in another connection. The Govt may perhaps be informed that this question of establishing additional courts will also be considered along with the question of combination of civil and criminal functions, a report on which has been called for from our justice C.S. Srinivasa Iyer. Secty with a draft

By A list of the subjects for the Conference is also subd 21/7/27

105 Negotiation after reversal of the ~~Ministerial~~ powers, estabt of the Courts, ~~decided~~ ~~is~~ is also proposed to be considered at the joint meeting of the Chief Court Judges & the Members of Govt. The connected file was dealt by Secretary Judy.

Res for consideration yet is necessary to write to the Chief Court in each case separately that it may be considered at the meeting & whether it will be enough to write to them once for all about all the questions to be discussed.

29/7

Appd. Please finalise after the Chief Secs Return

Secy (Law Dept)

Supt Please bring it

NOTE—Contn. sheet 22

Secy with draft for consideration

WD 6324-GPB-60,000-24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Subd

Maybe drop once?

B/ 30/7/27 The date may be fixed after the receipt of the report

Secy (L.D.) 30/7

Draftsman grade

Mr. Thomas v. A. Maybe done 30/

1042 1/8

30/7

115

117 30/7

5 To the Regr. Chief Court

W.P. 818 D. 4-8-27

States that the Questions may be Considered in a meeting of the Judges of the Chief Court with the Members of Court proposed to be held in Aug 1927 along with the report on the Combination of Civil & Cri. functions done from the Justice C. S. Doraisamy Ayer through the Chief Court. The Report has not yet been received. A date has to be fixed for the meeting (Vide para 102) Subd with a reminder

11/8

11/8

I understand that a copy of the report has been sent to the Secretariat. Await receipt 30/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD. 0324—G.P.B.—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | No. File No. 463 of 1926.

Serial No.

Fl 788
24.9.27

11 ... with a draft ... amending the General and Medical Establishments for the additional courts
8/ 21/9 11 The Estates required for the 4th Judge of the Chief Court is dealt with in another file
Appr 21/9 120 Expire the draft
8/ 21/9

12 Send with the draft typed
8/ 21/9

2504/23/9

Secretary
Finl Member
Chief
8/ 22/9
RB 22/9
Member
I agree
The other drafts may be issued
Expire all Spls same
Secy Fin. Sec'l.
8/ 22/9
23/9/27.

Secretary
8/ 24/9/27

24 I agree on the distinct understanding that the total cost of the arrangements sanctioned in the current year will not exceed the budget provision. This may be kindly verified.
27.9.27.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB—60,000—24-5-27

27/9

98/27/9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 263 of 1926

Serial No.

Subd. It is understood that the cost account of the Reforms can be kept within the lumpsum provision made in the Budget. Calculation can be made only when the other files regarding cost of temporary courts are received back from orders

28/9

The Finl Secy told me that it has been sent back

28/9

(2) Files regarding the ministerial staff of civil courts or of the Process Cost have not been received back in the Branch with orders. The former is in charge of the latter in the Finl Secy.

(3) Calculation of the cost on account of the Judl Reforms for 7½ months from 14.10.27 has however been made from the materials available in the Branch & it is found that the cost comes roughly to Rs 85,000, i.e. well within the lumpsum provision made in the budget; please see slip attached

(4) Subd with regard to the Finl Secy's note on the last page. The draft G.O in the file has been agreed to by the I Member, but the Finl Secy's opinion has not been perused by him

28/9/10

(5) This may perhaps be issued now after perusal by I member & Finl Secy.

Secy (L.D)

9/10

1st Member

Finl Secy

Member

9.10

10/10

10/10

10/10

GEN. & REV. SECT.
10 OCT. 1927
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5639 10/10

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 763 . of 1926.

Serial No.

132 As instructed by the I member I saw the chief judge. He has given me a draft statement of allocation of clerks on to all the courts including the new courts.

133 So far as the new courts are concerned it will be seen from a perusal of the statement that the establishment of the S.C. court at Mysore has been manned entirely by clerks transferred from the existing courts and that the 4 clerks on the grade of Rs 30-2½-45 has been changed to Rs 30-2-40.

134 It will be seen from the allocation to the existing courts that to some courts additional hands are allotted & ~~to others~~ from others a few existing clerks are retrenched.

135 The draft statement does not contain the financial result of the re allocation. The I member directed that the chief court may ~~himself~~ be requested to send up fresh proposals.

136 Draft submd.

~~Secy~~ (Secy L.D.) 18/10

137 app

138 Mug 13/10

18/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 263 of 1926

Serial No.

To The Registrar, Chief Court
Dep 98 Dt 13.10.27
Requests that revised proposals
may be forwarded for sanction &
Rolls etc.
Resubmitted after issue for
perusal.

13/10/27
13/10/27

I (illegible) 13/10

140 Seen
13/10/27

28
13/10/27

From

The Regr Chief Court. Letter no Roc 4231 dt 11/10/27
Forwards statements showing the allocation of funds for the new additional civil courts and the existing courts together with financial effects thereof.

The Chief Court have not exceeded the scale previously proposed by them in the allocation statements, but they have reduced the funds in some courts and have increased in other courts. From the total number of funds proposed by them originally, they have reduced

NOTE—Contn. sheet

36

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 263 of 1926.

Serial No.

FC 963
31.10.27

one hand and reduced the pay from Rs 35/- to Rs 30/- thereby showing a saving of Rs 420/- per annum. To this extent the Dept. already put up requires modification. This has been done and returned.

B/ 142 The pencil figures will be noted after approval.
29/10/27

143 From the statement sent from the Chief Comm the following savings are shown from the original proposals with regard to the Establishments for temporary Courts:—

144 The pay of the 2nd Clerk for the Temporary Sub Court at Shimoga has been reduced from Rs 35/- to Rs 30/- thereby saving Rs 60/- p.a.

145 The third Clerk, on Rs 30/- for the Additional Sub Court Sagar is not required — thereby saving Rs 360/- p.a.

3524/4/11

146 The total saving is therefore Rs 420/- p.a. from the original proposals.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
1 NOV. 1927
No. 6488

147 Draft for Govt. Sanction is submitted for approval

Further Secy may be informed

29/10

Secy (L.D.)
Govt. of India
Home Dept.

29.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0824—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 263 of 1926.

Serial No.	
11: Com 649	Financial Secy: has Concurred. Draft and fair are subd 5/4 5/11/27 Communicated in Fl. Com 649 dy 7.11.27
6	To the Regtr Chief Court The Comptr thro" Fl Secrt ROP 2325-6 dy 5-11-27. Sanctions the clerical and menial estt for the various addl Courts at a cost of Rs 32675/-
13/11	

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

D.O. No. 1917.

Lake View,

4th February 1927.

My dear Mr. Singaram,

As at present arranged the Dewan proposes to meet the Judges of the Chief Court on Monday the 14th instant to discuss certain recommendations of the Laws' Delays' Committee. It is likely, however, that the Dewan may be called away to Mysore about that time in which case the meeting would have to be postponed to a more convenient date. I shall let you know about this in due course.

Would you kindly submit this information to the First Member?

Yours sincerely,

M Krishnaswamy

Submitted for perusal

I member)

Please inform the Registrar accordingly.

Mr Ganesa had promised to send draft to you before he left. Has he done so?

5/2

[F. VI. Gl.]

2

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 9/2/27

Signed by M J S

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G 4048

To

.....

Dear Sir

In reply to your D.O. letter no Roc 922/26-27 of yesterday's date regarding the date of meeting between the Members of Govt & the Judges of the Chief Court, I am desired to state that the date will be intimated after the Dewan returns to Bangy.

Yours sincerely

S/-

9/2

To The Registrar

Chief Court

9/2

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

APP. P. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 14/7/27

Signed by A C Jos

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 0 4410

To

.....

Dear Sir

In continuation of this Dept D0 letter no 0.4048 dt 9.2.27, I am desired to state that the meeting of the Members of Govt & the Judges of the Chief Court has been fixed for Monday the 21st instant at 1 PM, and All the Judges may be requested to kindly attend the meeting

Yours Sincerely
14/7

14/7

To the Registrar
Chief Court

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

14/7

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

IMMEDIATE.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

D. O-No.41 Bangalore, dated 19th February 1927.
26-27

Dear Sir,

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your D.O. letter No.P.4187 dated the 18th February 27 requesting to be furnished with the information as to the number of Regular Appeals of the value or less than Rs.3000/- that are being filed in the Chief Court from the Bangalore Division for the last three years and in reply to state that the information asked for is - appended in the margin.

1923-24	...395	Appeals.
1924-25	...392	"
1925-26	...356	"

Yours sincerely,

M Srinivasaiyar

TO-

T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Assistant Secretary,
Law Department,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

5

To

The Registrar,

Chief Court.

Sir,

No. 8435/51,

dt. 26/2/27

I am directed to forward herewith a summary of discussions that took place at the meeting of the Judges of the Chief Court with the Dewan and Members of Council on Monday the 21st inst. and to request that you may move the Judges to make formal proposals regarding the establishment of new courts and other matters and also to state for the information of Government the number of appeals that are transferable to the District Judge or a Subordinate Judge from the pending file of the Chief Court.

dt. No. 8 Srijayanthi

I have, etc.,

24/2 A 105 20 26/2

Secretary to Govt.,

Law Dept.

KNN

Summary of discussions at the meeting of the Judges of the Chief Court with the Dewan and Members of Council.

Present.

Amin-ul-Mulk Mirza M.Ismail Esq., C.I.E., O.B.E. (Dewan)
K.Chandy Esq., B.A., (First Member)
Rajakaryaprasaktha M.N.Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.(Second Member)
C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A., (Third Member)
A.B.Plumer Esq., Bar-at-Law,(Chief Judge)
C.S.Doraswami Iyer Esq., B.A., B.L. (Judge,Chief Court)
D.A.Rama Rao Esq., B.A., B.L., do

Messrs. N.Madhava Rao, Chief Secretary to Government, B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Fihancial Secretary to Government and E.Nagappa, Secretary to Government, Law Department also attended.

---

1. The Judges agreed to consider whether the arrears ~~xixx~~ in the Chief Court could not be disposed of by transferring the bulk of the pending regular appeals from the Bangalore Division to an additional Subordinate Judge to be temporarily appointed for the purpose. If this was found feasible, the necessity for appointing a fourth Judge to the Chief Court would be obviated.

2. Subordinate Courts:-

In order to dispose of arrears and give relief to Subordinate Courts, the following additional courts were considered necessary:-

(a) A second Subordinate Judge's Court for Bangalore and another for Shimoga for a period of two years and one year respectively.

(b) Two permanent courts of Small Causes at Bangalore and

Mysore

Mysore respectively.

(c) Four temporary additional Munsiffs' Courts for one year to be located in places selected from time to time by the Chief Court with the approval of Government.

3. Process Establishment:-

The scale of process fees may be enhanced by 25 per cent all round and the additional income obtained thereby ~~ma~~ viz., about Rs.25000/- per annum may be utilised by the Chief Court for improving the pay and prospects of the Process staff,

4. Additional Clerks:-

It was agreed that 21 additional clerks for all the civil courts in the State be sanctioned as recommended by the Committee. But the new hands will be on a temporary basis for the present,

5. Re-organisation of the clerical establishment:-

The reorganisation scheme suggested by the Chief Court for the clerical establishment involves a very heavy expenditure of Rs.70,000/- per annum which cannot be found all at once. It was however agreed ~~tha~~ to provide a sum of Rs.10,000/- in the next year's budget for the revision of the clerical establishment.

6, Combination of the cadre of Judges and Magistrates and the possibility of entrusting civil and criminal work to the same officers:-

It was decided that this question might be considered on receipt of the special report on this subject promised by Justice Mr.C.S.Doraswami Iyer.

7. The Judges requested that Government might take up the question of finding accommodation for the new courts that will have to be established from 1st July 1927 and the Government promised to do so on receipt of final proposals.

Draft

Summary of discussions at the meeting of the Judges of the Chief Court with the Dewan and Members of Government.

Present:

Amin-ul-mulk
Mirza M. Ismail, C.I.E., O.B.E.(Dewan)

K.Chandy Esq. B.A. (First Member)

Rajakaryaprasakta Diwan Bahadur
M.N.Krishna Rao Esq. B.A. (2nd Member)

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq.B.A.
(3rd Member)

R.B.Plumer Esq. Bar-at-law (Chief Judge)

C.S.Dorasami Iyer Esq.B.A.B.L.
(Judge-Chief Court)

D.K.Rama Rao Esq. B.A., B.L.
(Judge- Chief Court)

Also attended

N. Madhava Rao Esq. B.A.B.L.
(Chief Secretary to Government)

B.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq. B.A.B.L.
(Financial Secretary to Government)

B.Nagappa Esq. Bar-at-law (Secretary to Govt. Law Department)

---

1. The Judges agreed to consider whether the arrears in the Chief Court could not be disposed of by transferring the bulk of the pending ~~first~~ appeals to an additional Subordinate Judge to be temporarily appointed for the purpose. If this was found feasible the necessity for appointing a fourth Judge to the Chief Court would be obviated.

2. Subordinate Courts

In order to dispose of arrears and give relief to subordinate Courts the following

following additional courts were considered necessary:

(a) A second subordinate Judge's Court for Bangalore and another for Shimoga for a period of two years.

(b) Two permanent courts of small causes at Bangalore and Mysore respectively.

(c) Four temporary additional Munisffs' Courts to be located in places selected from time to time by the Chief Court with the approval of Government.

3. Process Establishment

The scale of process fees may be enhanced by 25 per cent all round and the additional income obtained thereby viz. about Rs.25,000 per annum may be utilised by the Chief Court for improving the pay and prospects of the Process staff.

4. Additional clerks.

It was agreed that 21 additional clerks for all the Civil Courts in the State be sanctioned as recommended by the Committee.

5. Re-organization of the clerical establishment

The reorganisation scheme suggested by the Chief Court for the clerical establishment involved a very heavy expenditure of Rs. 70,000 per annum and the Government were not in a position to provide this amount; but taking into consideration the hard lot of the clerks who are over-worked and also the present rise in the cost of living, Government agreed to provide Rs.10,000 in the next year's budget for

the revision of the clerical establishment and to make similar provision in future years if financial conditions permitted of such provision being made

6. Combination of the cadre of Judges and Magistrates and the possibility of entrusting Civil and Criminal work to the same officers.

It was decided that this question might be considered on receipt of the special report on the subject promised by Justice Mr. C.S. Dorasami Iyer.

7. Finally the Judges requested that Government might take up the question of finding accommodation for the new courts that will have to be established from 1st July 1927 and the Government promised to do so on receipt of final proposals.

IMMEDIATE.

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore 4th March 192 7

R. O. C. No. 991
~~Dis.~~ 26-7

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknolwedge receipt of your letter No. P.4351/Cts. dated 26th February 1927 forwarding a summary of discussions that took place at the meeting of Judges of the Chief Court with the Dewan and Members of Council on 21st February 1927 and requesting that formal proposals regarding the establishment of new courts and other matters may be sent up to Government.

In reply I am to forward herewith for being urgently considered by Government the following proposals which, for sake of convenience, are grouped under separate heads.

ADDITIONAL COURTS FOR RELIEVING THE PRESENT CONGESTION IN CIVIL COURTS.

(1) An additional District Judge's Court at Bangalore :- The Laws' Delays' Committee in para 332 of its report had recommended that the strength of the Chief Court may be increased by the addition of a temporary fourth Judge for a period of one year to clear off the existing arrears in the Chief Court. When this matter was discussed at the Conference it was thought that instead of an additional Judge for the Chief Court, a somewhat less costly proposal for giving

giving relief to the Chief Court may be given effect to.

There are some regular appeals pending in the Chief Court, from the decisions of the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, in which the amount or value of the subject matter, does not exceed Rs.3,000/-, which appeals under Section 13 of the Civil Courts Regulation can be disposed of by a District Judge.

Such appeals cannot very well be sent for disposal to a court of the rank and status as that of a Subordinate Judge, but should preferably be tried by a court higher in status. Moreover, the District Judge of Bangalore needs relief owing to the fact that he has a large number of old original suits pending on his file in addition to his having miscellaneous work and Sessions cases. The advantages of establishing a temporary District Court in preference to a Subordinate Judge's Court were, I am to observe, brought to the notice of Government, by the Judges at the conversations which took shortly after the Conference broke up. After a careful consideration the Judges think that the establishment of an additional District Judge's court will not only give relief to the Chief Court by taking over a number of appeals from it, but also give relief to the permanent District Judge of Bangalore by sharing with him in the disposal of the old cases pending on his file. With this view the Judges recommend that an additional District Judge's Court may be established at Bangalore temporarily for a period of one year.

From the date of the establishment of the additional District Judge's Court at Bangalore, civil and criminal appeals arising in the Bangalore Division which are at present heard by the Chief Court, may be made to lie direct to the District Judge of Bangalore with a view to make the practice uniform in all the three Divisions of Bangalore, Mysore and Shimoga.

(2) A temporary additional Subordinate Judge's Court at Bangalore for a period of two years :- With a view to give relief to the District Judge of Bangalore and to the Subordinate Judge also when necessary, by disposing of a certain number of regular appeals of small pecuniary value and also of miscellaneous work, a temporary additional Subordinate Judge's Court may be established at Bangalore for a period of two years, as recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee in para 337 of their report.

(3) An additional Subordinate Judge's Court for Shimoga for a period of one year :- With a view to clear the arrears in the District Judge's Court and the Subordinate Judge's Court at Shimoga, I am to suggest the establishment of a temporary additional Subordinate Judge's Court at that place for a period of one year as recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee in para 341 of their report.

(4) Small Cause Courts :- The Laws' Delays' Committee in para 343 of their report, have recommended the establishment of two separate Small Cause Courts, one to be located at Bangalore and the other at Mysore and a reference to this is also made in the summary sent with your letter (Vide. para 2 clause b). The Judges while approving the recommendation in toto as regards the establishment of a permanent Small Cause Court at Bangalore, have no objection having regard to the somewhat different conditions prevailing in Mysore, to accept the suggestion made at the Conference that the Small Cause Court established at Mysore may, in the first instance, be made temporary for a period of two years, and the question of making it permanent or otherwise considered after that period, in the light of experience gained by the working of that Court.

I am to add with effect from the date of - establishment of a separate Small Cause Court at - Mysore the temporary Subordinate Judge's Court which is now working at that station may be abolished. - With a view to equalise the work as far as possible between the permanent Subordinate Judge's Court and the separate Small Cause Court at Mysore, the Judges recommend that the Small Cause Court may be invested with the power to hear appeals against the decisions of the Munsiffs in the Mysore Division.

(b) Munsiffs' Courts - (a) Permanent :- The - recommendation of the Laws' Delays' Committee in para 352 of the report regarding the establishment of a Munsiff's Court at each of the following places, viz., Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur, may be given effect to. The existing additional Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore and Mysore may be transferred to Closepet and Mandya respectively - the usual establishment being provided for them - and a new court established at Hunsur.

(b) Temporary :- In view of the heavy congestion existing at present in many of the Munsiffs' Courts and the inability of presiding officers to cope with the current work as well as reduce arrears, the - Judges suggest the establishment of four temporary additional Munsiffs' Courts for a period of one year to clear the existing arrears, as recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee in para 356 of their report. The Judges agree with the Committee's recommendations in regard to the location of these courts.

I am to add that the question of transferring the Munsiff's Court at Narasimharajapura to Tarikere, may lie over for the present.

PROCESS ESTABLISHMENT :- The Laws' Delays' Committee has laid special stress in regard to -

measures

measures calculated to secure an improvement in the service of processes in Chapter 17 of the report. With a view to secure additional funds to meet the cost of the reforms proposed the Judges suggest that the process - fees now levied under Section 29 of the Court Fees - Regulation may be raised all round by 25 per cent. The question of the grant of Bonus for the good service of processes by Process Servers and Amins may be held over for the present. The additional realisation consequent on the enhancement of process fee will be utilised for meeting the increased cost on account of the measures to improve the pay and prospects of the process establishment including the Process Nazirs.

IMPROVING THE PAY AND PROSPECTS OF THE MINISTERIAL ESTABLISHMENT :-

While the Judges agree that the sum of Rs.70,000/- and odd per annum required for meeting the cost of the scheme of reorganisation of the ministerial establishment of civil courts including the Chief Court, as - recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee, having regard to the present financial stringency cannot be found all at once, they are of opinion that the sum of Rs.10,000/- referred to in para 5 of the summary is quite insufficient. I am to point out that in the case of an important and major department like the Judicial Department with a large number of ministerial officers on its roll it will be practically impossible to confine measures of amelioration to a small sum of Rs.10,000/- unless it is intended to confine the benefit to a few courts at the top namely, the Chief Court and the District Courts, a step which the Judges think inexpedient as it will cost considerable discontent to the officials of the Munsiffs' Courts who at present are greater sufferers for want of adequate pay. I am also to observe that it will not be possible to begin the improvements from the bottom namely

Munsiffs

Munsiffs' Courts as the number of officials in those courts are by far larger in number and the sum of Rs.10,000/- will be quite insufficient for this purpose. Indeed, this difficulty was pointed out at the Conference and if the Judges are not mistaken, it was understood that if a slightly larger sum, say, Rs.15,000/-, was asked for it would be favourably considered by Government.

I am therefore to strongly urge that the Government may be pleased to provide at least Rs.15,000/- this year for meeting the extra cost, in addition to Rs.11,000/- and odd required for the additional establishment for the existing courts. I am to observe that in para 4 of the summary it is stated that the new hands should be on a temporary basis for the present. The Judges however strongly recommend that the additional hands may be made permanent once for all instead of on a temporary basis, with a view to facilitate the working out of a complete uniform scheme of reorganisation, the allocation of officials to the different courts and their transfer wherever necessary. The Judges recommend that a sum of Rs.15,000/- may be provided for the present for the reorganisation of the ministerial establishment in addition to the Rs.11,000/- for additional establishment for existing permanent courts, the details of the reorganisation being left to the Chief Court for being worked out. In this connection I am to point out that unless the efficiency of the ministerial establishment is improved the maximum good effect of the establishment of additional courts in the interests of the litigants cannot be secured and hence special emphasis is laid upon this important measure of reform.

I

I am to add that the Judges hope that the Government will be pleased to pass orders sanctioning such grants as will enable the proposals of the Chief Court - which represent the bare minimum and essential requirements for improving the administration of justice - being given effect to as early as possible.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Theemmisadaposachin

REGISTRAR. 4/3/27

TKV/1.

Immediate

R.O.C.No 991

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE 18

Bangalore, dated 15th March 1927.

16

Sir,

16/3

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your D.O.letter No. 4781/P, dated 12th March 1927, calling for a rough estimate of cost that may be involved for giving effect to the proposals made in my letter No. R.O.C.991, dated 4-3-1927, and in reply to enclose herein an abstract estimate as required. Advance copies were sent to you yesterday evening only.

Yours sincerely,

Registrar

15/3/27

To

T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

ABSTRACT

Estimate of cost involved.

1.	Additional Courts Permanent & Temporary.	117047
2.	Reorganization of Court Establishment.	26112
3.	Reorganization of Process Establishment.	35536
	TOTAL:	178695

N.B. This excludes the special locality allowance to be given with effect from 1st July 1927.

MM

I. ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE.

(Temporary).

		Cost per Annum.
1.	District Judge at 800/-	9600
	Establishment.	
	6 Clerks: 1(80-4-100) = 95 1(60-4- 80) = 75 2(45-3- 60) = 112½ 56¼ 2(30-2½-45) = 82 41 364½	4374
	9 Menials: Mutchi 1 (15) Daffedar 1 (13) Dufter Band- 1 (12) Peons 4 (10) 2 (9) 98	1176
		15150
	Contingencies.	250
		15400
	Furniture (non-recurring)....	1000
	T O T A L;	16400

II. Additional Subordinate Judge's Court, Bangalore.
(Temporary)

1. Subordinate Judge at 500-50-600 (at 500 minimum).	..	6000
Establishment.		
4 clerks: 1(45-3-60) .. 56¼ 3(30-2½-45) .. 123 41 ------ 179¼ ------	..	2151
Mutchi 1(13), Daffedar 1(12), Peons (10), (9)) 44.	..	528
		8679
Contingencies. ..	..	150
		8829
Furniture (Non-recurring).	..	1000
T O T A L	..	9829

III. Additional Subordinate Judge's Court, Shimoga.
(Temporary).

1. Subordinate Judge at 500/-.		..	6000
Establishment.			
2 clerks: 1(45-3-60) .. 56¼ 1(30-2½-45) .. 41	----- 97¼ ------	..	1167
Mutchi 1(13), Daffedar 1(12), Peons (10),(9). .. 44.		..	528
			7695
Contingencies. ..	..	..	150
			7845
Furniture. ..	..	..	1000
	Total	..	8845

From the Total of II and III.	9829 8845 18674
Deduct the cost of the present Additional Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore.	8028
NET COST for II & III together.)	10646

IV. Small Cause Court, Bangalore.
(Permanent).

1 Judge at 500-50-600 (average 550)		..	6600
Establishment:			
Clerks 1(60-4-80) 3(45-3-60)	75		
56¼	168¾		
4(30-2½-45) 41	164	..	6618
5(25-1-30)... 28¾	143¾		
	551½		
Mutchi (13), Daffedar (12), Peons 2(10), 1(9).	54	...	648
			13866
Contingencies. ..		..	150
Total (recurring).		..	14016
Furniture (non-recurring).		..	2500
	Total	..	16516

V. Small Cause Court, Mysore.
(Temporary for 2 years).

Same as No.IV above.

Total (recurring).	..	14016
Furniture (non-recurring).	..	2500
Total.	..	16,516

VI. MUNSIFFS' COURTS, CLOSEPET, MANDYA AND HUNSUR.

3 Munsiffs at 150-200-25-450 (average 352 7/9)	=	12,700
Court Establishment.		
Clerks 3(45-3-60) = 168¾ 56¼ 9(30-2½-45) = 369 41 21(25-1-30) = 603¾ 28¾ 3 (25) = 75 1216½	=	14,598
Daffedars 3(12), Gollars 3(10), Peons 3(10), Sweepers 3(9). /23	=	1,476
Process Establishment.		
Process Nazirs 3(40-2-50) = 142½ 47½ Amins .. 15(20-1-28) = 384 25 3/5 Peons .. 36(12-½-18) = 568 4/5 15 4/5 1095 3/10	=	13,143 3/5
		41,917 3/5
Deduct the cost of the Additional Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore and Mysore already made permanent, which will be transferred to Closepet and Mandya, respectively.	-	10,986 2/3
	Net --	30,930 14/15
C o n t i n g e n c i e s 150/- each	--	450
	Total(recurring) -	31,380 14/15
Furniture (non-recurring) 2500/- each		7,500
	Total -	38,880 14/15

***

VII. TEMPORARY ADDITIONAL MUNSIFFS' COURTS - Four in all.

[i. Bangalore, ii. Chickballapur, iii. Shimoga and Sagar, iv. Chitaldrug and Davangere.]

****

4 Munsiffs at 150 (minimum)	=	7,200
Establishment.		
Clerks 4(45-3-60) = 225 56¼ 4(30-2½-45) = 164 41 389	=	4,668
Daffedars 4(11), Gollars 4(10), Peons 4(10), Sweepers 4(9).	=	1,920
		13,788
Contingencies - 75/- each ...		300
Total (recurring)	--	14,088
Furniture (non-recurring) 1000/- each	--	4,000
		18,088

House Rent. It is not known in what places accommodation in Government buildings are available, and in what places rented buildings have to be taken up. It is therefore not -- possible to propose any sum under -- this head.

***

Total cost of all the items I to VII.

I.	Rs.	16,400
II and III... ...		10,646
IV.		16,516
V.		16,516
VI.		38,880 14/15
VII.		18,088
Total	Rs.	1,17,046 14/15

or

Rs. 1,17,047

per annum.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 15th March 1927.

15/3/27

REGISTRAR.

Sd.

Abstract Proposition Statement for improving the Pay and Status

Present Scale.

No. of Clerks.	Pay.	Average cost per month.	Total cost per month.	Remarks.
	Chief Court.			
1	120 - 6 - 150	142½		
1	100/-	100		
2	97/-	194		
2	87/-	174		
4	77/-	308		
4	67/-	268		
4	62/-	248		
3	55/-	165		
4	50/-	200		
4	45/-	180		
3	40/-	120		
4	35/-	140		
2	30/-	60		
	Shorthand allowance	10		
	Mutchis and Dufterbands.			
1	23/-	23		
1	20/-	20		
2	16/-	32		
1	15/- (Dufterband)	15	2,399½	
	All Subordinate Courts including Central Records.			
3	120 - 6 - 150	427½		
3	77 - 4 - 97	276		
1	82	82		
3	67 - 3 - 82	234¾		
1	67	67		
8	57 - 2 - 67	580½		
7	55	385		
3	45 - 2 - 55	315		
14	45	630		
4	35 - 2 - 45	127½		
28	40	1120		
9	30 - 2 - 40	337½		
12	35	420		
20	(30 - 1 - 35	675		
73	30	2190		
7	25 - 1 - 30	201¼		
107	25	2675		
3	20 - 1 - 25	142½		
	S.C.P. Allowance	35	10,921½	
350			13,321	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore,
15th March 1927.

TKV/6.

isterial Establishments of the Civil Courts including the

Cir.

Amount	allotted for revising the scale ...	...	Rs.15,000
-do-	for additional hands ...	...	11,088
			26,088

scale including 21 additional hands.

	Pay.	Average cost per month	Total cost per month.	Remarks.
	Chief Court.			
1	125 - 10 - 175	162½		
	(100 - 5 - 110)	105		
	(30-2½-45-3-30-4-100)	2298		
	Allowance to Stenographer	10		
	Mutchis.			
	25/-	25		
	23/-	23		
	20/-	20		
	18/-	18		
	Daffaderas 16/-	16		
		----	2677½	
	Subordinate Courts.			
	(125 - 10 - 175)	487½		
	(80 - 4 - 100)	285		
	(60 - 4 - 80)	675		
	(45 - 3 - 60)	1968¾		
	(30 - 2½ - 45)	4387		
	(25 - 1 - 30)	4456¼		
	(25)	525		
	Allowance	35		
233		-----	12819½	
		Total.	15497	
	Deduct present cost ...		13321	
	Extra cost per month ...		2176 x 12	
	Extra cost per year		26112	

excluding 6 mutchis and daffadars.

REGISTRAR. 15/3/27

ABSTRACT FROM THE STATEMENT SHOWING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PROCESS ESTABLISHMENT OF ALL ART CIVIL COURTS IN THE STATE

NAMELY 21 Munsiffs' Courts ~~annexed~~.

Extra amount expected to be realised about Rs.37,000 per annum.

Present Scale.				Proposed Scale.			
No. of Clerks.	Pay	Average cost per month.	Remarks.	No. of clerks.	Pay	Average cost per month.	Remarks.
	Nazars.				Nazars.		
8	40	320		21	40-2-50	997½	
13	35	455	..778				
	L.A. 3	3					
		778					
	Amins.				Amins.		
44	23	1012		160	20-1-28	4096	
116	20	2320	..3342				
	L.A. 5(2)	10					
		3342					
	Process Servers.				Process Servers.		
107	13	1391		456	12-½-18	7204 4/5	
					K.G.F.L.A.1(3),5(2) 14(1)	27	

5244

637	9364	637	12325 3/10

Deduct present cost 9364

Extra cost per month 2961 3/10 x 12

Extra cost per year 35,535 3/5

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 15th March 1927.

Registrar.
15/3/27

Tks.

Ra. 6

Proceedings of the Government of
H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore.

========

Read:-

Government Order No.P.4052/Cts. 307-24 dated 3-10-1925 constituting a Committee to consider measures for -- minimising delays in the disposal of civil suits.

2. The Report of the Laws' Delays' Committee.

3. Correspondence ending with No. ROC 572 dated 3-12-26 from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the Judges of the Chief Court generally agree with the recommendations of the Committee and that their detailed opinion on particular subjects will be furnished when required.

Public Progress 4.6.27
Inquired by and J.S Mudaliar

4. Further correspondence ending with letter No.ROC.991/26-27 dated the 4th March 1927 from the same officer submitting the final proposals of the Chief -- Court in regard to matters involving -- additional expenditure.

Order No.P. PS 239-53/CS 263.26-1
Dated Bengalore, the 4th April 1927.

In their order read above, Government constituted

79

President:

Hon.Justice C.S.Doraswami Iyer
B.A.,B.L.Judge,Chief Court

Members.

1. Mr.P.Mahadevayya,B.A.,B.L.,
Sub-Judge
2. " M.Ramachandra Rao,B.A.,B.L.
Advocate, Bangalore.
3. " B.Narasinga Rao,Pleader,
Mysore.
4. " C.Subba Rao, B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Shimoga.
5. " C.Lakshmana Gowda,B.A.B.L
Advocate, Bangalore.
6. " Md.Abbas Khan, Hon.Presdt.
City Ml.Cl.Bangalore.
7. " B.V.Ramaswamy Chetty,
Advocate,Bangalore.
8. " B.K.Garudachar, President,
Chamber of Commerce,
Bangalore.

Secretary.

Mr.A.Srinivasaraghavachari,
B.A.,B.L.,Registrar,
Chief Court.

constituted a Committee consisting of the members noted in the margin to go into the question of devising measures for mitigating the hardship caused to litigants by delays in the disposal of civil suits, appeals and execution proceedings and for minimising the inconveniences experienced by them under the existing procedure in obtaining adequate satisfaction for the decrees passed by the Courts, after taking into consideration the recommendations of the Civil -- Justice Committee appointed in British India and their suitability to local conditions. Government subsequently referred for the consideration of the Committee the question of investing Munsiffs with magisterial powers and of giving civil work to Special Magistrates recruited -- from the Bar in places where the Munsiffs or Magistrates have at present not got sufficient ~~work~~ civil or criminal work. It was requested that the recommendations of the Committee be classified under the following heads:-

(1) Suggestions for modifications in the law in force;

(2) Suggestions for alterations in the rules or procedure in force which do not require any modification of the law and which do not also entail additional expenditure; and

(3) Suggestions entailing additional expenditure, with an approximate estimate of such expenditure.

2. The Committee commenced their sittings in the Chief Court on the 24th October 1925 and after inviting and considering the opinions of Judicial officers, prominent advocates, the several Bar -- Associations in the State as well as a few selected members of the public, concluded their deliberations on the 28th August 1926 and have now forwarded their Report through the Chief Court.

3. The Committee have in Part I of the Report dealt with the various stages of a suit and the execution proceedings of a decree from their inception to the final satisfaction of the decree and of appeals - Regular, Second and Miscellaneous - and indicated that delay in the various stages is caused by certain defects in some of the Laws and Regulations, both substantive and adjective, and in the Rules of Practice and Procedure. In Part II they deal with questions relating to administrative matters affecting the working of the Courts, viz., recruitment of judicial officers, addition to and improvement of the pay and prospects of the ministerial establishments, the question of the adequacy of the courts, their constitution and jurisdiction and the ques-

tion

question of combining civil and magisterial functions in the same officer. The Committee have also considered questions connected with the profession of law - the qualifications for enrolment as Legal Practitioners, apprenticeship before enrolment and the scale of remuneration payable to them. The recommendations of the Committee may be analysed as follows:-

(i) Certain provisions of the Civil Procedure Code, Limitation Regulation, Evidence Act, Transfer of Property ~~Act~~ Regulation, Court Fees, Stamps and Registration Regulations and Small Cause Courts Regulation -- should be amended.

(ii) The existing rules under the C.P.C. should be revised.

(iii) Circulars and Rules of Practice for the guidance of subordinate courts for speeding up disposals -- should be issued.

(iv) Additional courts - temporary and permanent - should be established and the Ministerial and Process Establishments should be reorganised and added to.

(v) Combination of civil and criminal functions may be tried in certain specified areas.

4. On some of the recommendations, viz., those grouped under A in the --

the Annexure to this order, the Chief Court can issue orders of their own accord. The Chief Court are requested to consider these recommendations and issue necessary orders, copies of such orders being forwarded for the information of Government.

On the recommendations grouped under B in the Annexure, the Chief Court may take the necessary action towards the Amendment of the Orders of the Civil Procedure Code and other matters and submit proposals for the sanction of Government.

Under Group C in the Annexure, are included:-

(i) Recommendations on which Govt. have to issue necessary orders.

(ii) Recommendations for amending Regulations.

Government will, in respect of these, take necessary action in due course.

(iii) Recommendations involving additional expenditure.

The Judges of the Chief Court were consulted as regards these recommendations

recommendations and they have submitted final proposals in the matter.

5. Government are pleased to pass orders on the above proposals as follows:-

(1) To clear off the existing arrears in the Chief Court, a temporary Additional District Judge's Court will be established at Bangalore for a period of one year, instead of an Additional Judge to the Chief Court as recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee;

(2) As recommended by the Committee the establishment of a Sub-Judge's Court at Bangalore for a period not exceeding two years is sanctioned. Provision will for the present be made for a period of one year before the end of which the Chief Court may recommend the probable period not exceeding another year for which the court may be continued.

(3) To clear off the arrears in the District Judge's and Sub-Judge's Courts at Shimoga, the Committee recommend the establishment of a temporary additional Sub-Judge's Court for a period of one year. Government consider that the pendency of appeals in the above courts at Shimoga is not so large as to necessitate the establishment of an Additional Sub Court and that the District Judge and Sub Judge of Shimoga may distribute the appellate work more or less equally between them and bring the work up-to-date.

(4) Small Cause Courts will be established at Bangalore and Mysore as recommended by the Committee. The Small Cause Court at Mysore will, however, be temporary for a period of two years in the first instance, and the question of making it permanent or otherwise, after that period will be considered in the light of experience gained in the working of the Court. With effect from the date of establishment of a separate Small Cause Court at Mysore, the temporary Sub-Judge's Court now working at that station will be abolished. To equalise the work as far as possible, between the permanent Sub-Court at Mysore and the new Small Cause Court, the latter will be invested with the power to hear appeals against the decisions of Munsiffs in the Mysore Division.

(5) Munsiffs' Courts - Permanent.

The recommendations of the Laws' Delays' Committee to establish a Munsiff's Court at each of the following places, viz., Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur are sanctioned. The additional Munsiffs' Courts at Mysore and Bangalore will accordingly be transferred to Mandya and Closepet respectively, with separate clerical establishments, and a new Court will be established at Hunsur.

Munsiffs' Courts - Temporary.

The Committee recommend the esta-

establishment of four temporary Munsiffs' Courts for one year for clearing the arrears in certain Munsiffs' Courts. Government consider that three such Courts may be established for the present so as to reduce cost. The Chief Court may post the Additional Munsiffs to such places and for such periods as they consider necessary. The additional Courts will be dispensed with after one year.

(6) Process Establishment.

The Committee have recommended increase of pay and grant of bonus to process servers. In view of the large increase of expenditure involved in giving effect to the various recommendations of the Committee and the increased facilities given to the public by the establishment of additional courts, Govt. consider that an all round increase of 25 per cent in the rates of process fees is necessary and direct that the rates be increased accordingly with effect from 1st July 1927. The additional realisations consequent on the enhancement of fees will be utilised for meeting the increased cost on account of the measures for the improvement in the pay of the process establishment including process naiirs. The Chief Court are requested to submit detailed proposals in time.

(7) Ministerial Establishment.

A sum of Rs.20,000/- will be provided in the next years Budget for the

reorganization of the ministerial establishment and for the additional establishment recommended by the Committee for the existing courts.

8. These arrangements will come into effect from 1st July 1927.

9. Pending the receipt of detailed proposals from the Chief Court, re the expenditure in connection with the establishment of new courts, etc., and orders of Government thereon, a lump sum provision of Rs.1,20,000/- will be made in the Budget estimates of the Judicial Department for 1927-28. The Chief Court are requested to forward the detailed proposals at an early date for the sanction of Government, limiting the extra expenditure to the said provision for the next year.

including the expenditure to be incurred for the reorganisation of the process establishment

10. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Mysore and Shimoga are requested to submit proposals, in consultation with the District Judges concerned, for the accommodation of the new courts.

11. The question of the combination of civil and criminal functions will be considered after receipt of further information called for from the Chief Court ~~as promised by them.~~

12. In conclusion, Government wish to express their obligation to the President and Members of the Committee for

the

the elaborate enquiry into the defects of the existing system of judicial administration which they have conducted and for the very interesting and valuable report which they have presented. Government have hopes that the improvements that will be effected as a result of this enquiry will mark a step forward in the administration of justice in the State.

15/3 Secretary to Govt.,
Law Department.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.
" Comptroller, thro' Fl.Sectt.
" Secretary, Laws' Delays' Committee
" Members of do
a Deputy courts of Bangalore, Mysore, Hubli and Kumbakonam
Press Table.

Annexure.

A

[Here enter Gr V of chapter XXII of the Report]

B

[Here enter Grs II & IV of the same chapter except items 10 to 14, 27 to 30 & 35 & 36]

C

(i)

[Here enter Grs II & IV - items 10 to 14, 27 to 30, 35 and 36]

KNN

(ii)

Gr I of the said chapter

(iii)

Gr VII of the chapter.

12 Copies

38

Annexure. A.

Recommendations on which the Chief Court can pass final orders.

1. Rule directing that in lengthy and complicated plaints the filing of concise statements instead of copies should be made use of to a greater extent. 17

2. Preparation of processes by the parties themselves on forms supplied by court. 21

3. Personal service of processes should be the rule and at any rate the ideal to be aimed at. 25

4. Service by advertisement in News-papers. 26

5. Stricter insistence upon proper pleadings. 63

6. Examination of the parties as early as possible before issues are framed. 64

7. Filing of the lists of documents by plaintiff and defendant.

8 Seeing that proper Discovery of documents is made and admission called for before trial. 70. (68 / 69

9. Improvement in framing and correct settlement of issues. 71.

~~10. Examination of the parties as early as possible before issues are framed.~~

10. Rule to be made by which parties may be allowed to serve processes on their own witnesses. 87

11. Enforcement of the payment of "adjournment" batta due to witnesses for the next hearing. 88

12. Discretion in granting adjournments. 89

13. Enforcement of the rule that the hearing of a suit once begun should proceed from day to day. 90

14. Enforcement of the rule as to 'opening' a case. 94

15. Observance of the rules in Chapter X of the Evidence Act. 96

16. Giving priority to cases, pending disposal of which other cases are held up. 135

39

17. Hearing of arguments as early as possible after closing of evidence. 112

18. Scrutinising daily or weekly arrear list of processes pendi preparation. 295

19. Greater use of the provisions of Order III, Rule 5 C.P.Code in regard to the service of processes on pleaders in interlocutory proceedings. 310

Annexure B.

Recommendations on which Chief Courts have to take action

(i) Under the rule making Power in Part X CPC.

1. Rule directing that necessary copies of the plaint or abstract should be filed with the plaint. 16

2. Rule directing process fees should be paid with the plaint. 18 & 23

3. Rule directing process fees to be paid with memos of appeals also. 19

4. Rule directing service of processes by registered post as auxilliary to that through the process server. 27

5. Amendment of Order XXXII, rule 3(4) 28

6. Proposing that the first application itself filed by the plaintiff must contain the names of all persons for appointment of a guardian ad litem. 31

7. Amendment of Order IX, rule 13. 39 & 40

8. Suggestions for minimising delays in the matter of attendance of witnesses. (Some of these suggestions will require action on the administrative side.) 78 & 89, 83 & 86

9. Service of notice in interlocutory applications on pleaders. 130

10. Amendment of Order XVI, rule 2 (1). 84

~~10. Signature of pleaders to be obtained in decrees.~~

11. Alterations of the rules relating to the system of recording evidence. 106 & 110

12. Recording evidence in Shorthand as an experimental measure in the Courts of District Judges. 109

13. Dictating judgments in Shorthand and amendment of Orders XX and XLI. 116 & 226

14. Amendment of rules in order XXXIV to provide for one decree in mortgage suits and reduction of time allowed to judgment.debtor. 125

15. Signature of pleaders to be obtained in decrees. 120

Execution.

16. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 2. 178

17. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 11(2) a,b,c and h. 160

18. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 18 re assignment of decrees. 171

19. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 17. 163

41

20. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 24, ~~by the addition of certain words~~. 182

21. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 26, clause (3) to make secur... obligatory in the absence of sufficient cause. 183

22. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 31, clause (2) 184

23. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 32 cl.(3) 185

24. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 37. 186

25. Rule allowing executing Court to send its own amin. to arrest judgment debtor found outside its jurisdiction. 188

26. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 39 (Cl.5). 187

27. Addition of rules in order XXI to provide something in the nature of a garnishee procedure. 192

28. Amendment of Order XXI, rule 53 193

29. do. 54. 195/196

30. do. 57. 197

31. do. 58. 200

32. do. 66. 202

33. do. 67. 203

34. do. 68. 204

35. do. 69 (1) 205

36. do. 83. 207

37. Modification of Order " 89, cl.(1) 209

38. Amendment of Order " 90 211

39. Amendment of Order " 98 215

40. Amendment and addition of suitable rules to Order XXVI. 143

Annexure B. ~~(II)~~

(II) Under other rule making powers or administrative orders.

1. Fixing ~~minimum~~ periods for the disposal of several classes of litigation. 56057

2. Scrutiny of judgments pending delivery for more than ten days, included in the monthly return sent to superior courts. 115

3. Insistence of the rule in the matter of giving a fixed date for the delivery of judgments. 118

4. Payment of interest under section 34 C.P.C. to be within the discretion of civil courts. 128

5. Improvement in the selection of commissioners appointed under Order XXVI, rules 11 and 12 to examine accounts. 144 145

6. Alteration in the rules under which lists are kept up of persons ready to accept commissions under O.XXVI, rule 4 and as to the selection of such persons. 144 145

7. Execution petitions to be brought up for orders without delay and corrections where necessary to be made by the Court itself. 162

8. Increased facilities for payment of decretal amounts to the court or decree-holder by money order. 179 180

9. Noting applications undisposed of for payment of decree amounts in the Court in the civil monthly returns. 187

10. Registration of plaints or proceedings soon after their presentation. 294

11. Discontinuance of the practice of employing process servers as orderlies. 297

12. Avoiding of delay in the writing and issue of processes and addition of suitable columns in Register No.A. 298 383

13. New form of B Register and scrutiny of the entries thereto and distribution of processes by circles and beats. 299

14. Re-issue of processes automatically by process Nazir. 300

15. Party to give sufficient information in the application to enable the process server to identify the person to be served. 301

16. Revision of the form of affidavit used by process servers. 302

G3

17. Better selection of process Nazirs. 304

18. Scrutiny of monthly and quarterly process statements by the District Judges and closer supervision over the work of individual process servers by presiding officers. 305

19. Greater use of bicycles by servers and amins when going out for serving processes. 306

20. Revised form of process servers work ticket and maintenance of a pocket diary by process servers and amins and checking the same along with the work ticket. 307

21. Service by post as an additional mode of service upon a party.

22. Reduction of printing charges. 375 311

23. Typing of records in cases heard by a single Judge of the Chief Court. 377

24. Abolition of the existing system of typing records. 378

25. Avoiding delay in the payment of printing charges. 379

26. Rules in regard to apprenticeship of Advocates and Pleaders.

~~28~~. Increase in the scale of remuneration to Legal Practitioners. 391

~~29~~. Introduction of a rule for certificates being filed by an Advocate or pleader for having received the Regulation Fee. 396, 397, 402

~~30~~. Rules regarding Touting. 404 & 405

~~31~~. Framing rules re. recognised clerks of Legal practitioners. 406

26 Separate grants for the purchase of books and law journals for all the civil courts (~~[illegible]~~) & 381

27. Accommodation in the chief court for a Court Hall for the Judicial Court (~~[illegible]~~) 388

&

Annexure C. (i)

Recommendations which Govt have taken action thereon

1. Amendment of Schedule to Small Cause Courts Regulation. 256 & 259

2- Importance of the duty cast on disbursing and audit officers in regard to attachment of salary or allowances of public servants 191

3. Instructions to the officers concerned in the case of partitions to be effected under sections 54 or 54A. Civil Procedure Code. 220

4. Provision for emergent work during Vacations. 223

5. Rules for recruitment of judicial officers. 269 & 274

6. Amendment of the Civil Service Rules in regard to the appointment of District Judges. 276

7. First appeals to lie in the District Court, Bangalore as in other Divisions and second appeals to the Chief Court. 329 & 330

8. Transfer of first appeals to the Sub-Court. Bangalore, by the District Judge, Bangalore. 336

9. Introduction of typewritten copies. 361

10. Providing accommodation for locating a Press in the Chief Court for printing records of civil appeals. 372

11. Separate grants for the purchase of books and law journals for all the civil courts (may involve extra expenditure).

12. Accommodation in the Chief Court for Court Hall for the Revision Court and also for the additional

(ii)

A. Amendments in the Civil Procedure Code Regulation III of 1911.

1. Simultaneous execution of same decree in different courts and amendment of section 38. 167

2. Amendments in Sections 39 and 42. 168 & 170

3. Amendments in and enlargements of the scope of section 47. 172 & 174

4. Amendment of section 60. clause (h) 189

5. Amendment of section 145. 211

6. Punishment of resistence to execution as contempt and amendment of section 74. 214 & 215

45

7. Amendment of Section 48. 218

8. Amendment of Section 73. 221

B. Limitation Regulation.

9. Amendment of Section 14 238

10. Amendment of Section 19 239 & 242

11. Amendment of section 20 —do—

12. Amendment of Article 85 243

13. Amendment of articles 92, 93, 95 and 96. 244

14. Amendment of articles 128 and 129 246

15. Amendment of article 141 245 & 247

16. Amendment of article 166 248

17. Amendment of article 182 248

C. Evidence Act.

18. Amendment of section 65 by adding a new clause (h). 250

19. Presumption in case of registered documents. 257

~~28x~~ D- Transfer of Property Regulation.

20. Amendment of Sections 54 and 107. 236

E. Amendments in other Laws, e.g. Stamps. Court Fees, etc.

21. Levying of stamp duty and the registration charges on a fixed basis instead of ad valorem on instruments in writing ~~of~~ and registration of deeds of partition. 232

22. Compulsory registration of adoption deed when executed. 233

23. Partnerships deeds to be in writing. 234 & 235

24. Payment of court fees in instalments. 354 & 355

~~X~~(iii). Recommendations involving extra expenditure.

Temporary.

1. An additional Judge for the Chief Court for a period of one year to dispose of old appeals. 332

2. An additional Sub Court at Bangalore for a period of two years. 337

3. An additional Sub Court at Shimoga for a period of one year. 341

4. One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Munsiffs Courts, Bangalore 356

5. One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Chickballapur Munsiff's Court. 356

6. One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Shimoga and Sagar Munsiff's Courts. 356

7. One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Chitaldrug and Davangere Munsiff's Courts. 356

Permanent.

8. Reorganisation of the ministerial establishment of civil courts including the Chief Court. 283 to 288

9. Twenty one additional clerks for all the civil courts in the State. 284

10. Reorganisation of the Process Department. 312 to 315

11. Two Small Cause Courts at Bangalore and Mysore. 344

12. Three Munsiffs' Courts, one for Mandya, one for Closepet and one for Hunsur and shifting of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur to Tiptur. 350 to 352

13. Shifting of the Munsiff's Court at Narasimharajapura to Tarikere and the abolition of the Special Magistrate's Court Tarikere and distribution of the criminal work of the Magistrate's Court between the Munsiffs of Narasimharajapura and Chickmagalur. 353

14. Expediting translation work in the Chief Court and increase of Translators. 380

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

Recommendations of the Laws' Delays' Committee.

Passes orders on the —— .

READ—

Government Order No. P. 4052—Cts. 307-24, dated 3rd October 1925, constituting a Committee to consider measures for minimising delays in the disposal of civil suits.

2. The Report of the Laws' Delays' Committee.

3. Correspondence ending with No. Roc. 572, dated 3rd December 1926, from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the Judges of the Chief Court generally agree with the recommendations of the Committee and that their detailed opinion on particular subjects will be furnished when required.

4. Further correspondence ending with letter No. Roc. 991—26-27, dated the 4th March 1927, from the same officer submitting the final proposals of the Chief Court in regard to matters involving additional expenditure.

ORDER No. P. 5239-53—CTS. 263-26-1, DATED 4TH APRIL 1927.

In their order read above, Government constituted a Committee consisting of the members noted below to go into the question of devising measures for mitigating the hardship caused to litigants by delays in the disposal of civil suits, appeals and execution proceedings and for minimising the inconveniences experienced by them under the existing procedure in obtaining adequate satisfaction for the decrees passed by the Courts, after taking into consideration, the recommendations of the Civil Justice Committee appointed in British India and their suitability to local conditions.—

President.

Mr. Justice C. S. Doraswami Iyer, B.A., B.L., Judge, Chief Court.

Members.

1. Mr. P. Mahadevayya, B.A., B.L., Sub-Judge.
2. „ M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Bangalore.
3. „ B. Narasinga Rao, Pleader, Mysore.
4. „ C. Subba Rao, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Shimoga.
5. „ C. Lakshmana Gowda, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Bangalore.
6. „ Mahomed Abbas Khan, Honorary President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
7. „ B. V. Ramaswamy Chetty, Advocate, Bangalore.
8. „ B. K. Garudachar, President, Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore.

Secretary.

Mr. A. Sreenivasaraghavachari, B.A., B.L., Registrar, Chief Court.

Government subsequently referred for the consideration of the Committee the question of investing Munsiffs with magisterial powers and of giving Civil work to Special Magistrates recruited from the Bar in places where the Munsiffs or Magistrates have at present not got sufficient Civil or Criminal work. It was requested that the recommendations of the Committee be classified under the following heads:—

(1) Suggestions for modifications in the law in force.

(2) Suggestions for alterations in the rules or procedure in force which do not require any modification of the law and which do not also entail additional expenditure; and

48

No. P. 5239-52—Crs. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

(3) Suggestions entailing additional expenditure, with an approximate estimate of such expenditure.

2. The Committee commenced their sittings in the Chief Court on the 24th October 1925, and after inviting and considering the opinions of Judicial Officers, prominent Advocates the several Bar Associations in the State as well as a few selected members of the public, concluded their deliberations on the 28th August 1926, and have forwarded their Report through the Chief Court.

3. The Committee have in Part I of the Report dealt with the various stages of a suit and the execution proceedings of a decree from their inception to the final satisfaction of the decree and of appeals—Regular, Second and Miscellaneous and indicated that delay in the various stages is caused by certain defects in some of the Laws and Regulations, both substantive and adjective, and in the Rules of Practice and Procedure. In Part II they deal with questions relating to administrative matters affecting the working of the Courts, *viz.*, recruitment of judicial officers, addition to and improvement of the pay and prospects of the ministerial establishments, the question of the adequacy of the Courts, their constitution and jurisdiction and the question of combining Civil and Magisterial functions in the same officer. The Committee have also considered questions connected with the profession of law the qualifications for enrolment as Legal Practitioners, apprenticeship before enrolment and the scale of remuneration payable to them. The recommendations of the Committee may be analysed as follows:—

(i) Certain provisions of the Civil Procedure Code, Limitation Regulation, Evidence Act, Transfer of Property Regulation, Court Fees Stamps, and Registration Regulations and Small Causes Courts Regulation should be amended.
(ii) The existing rules under the Civil Procedure Code should be revised.
(iii) Circular and Rules of Practice for the guidance of subordinate courts for speeding up disposals should be issued.
(iv) Additional courts—temporary and permanent—should be established and the Ministerial and Process Establishments should be reorganised and added to.
(v) Combination of Civil and Criminal functions may be tried in certain specified areas.

4. On some of the recommendations, *viz.*, those grouped under A in the Annexure to this order, the Chief Court can issue orders of their own accord. The Chief Court are requested to consider these recommendations and issue necessary orders, copies of such orders being forwarded for the information of Government.

On the recommendations grouped under B in the Annexure, the Chief Court may take the necessary action towards the Amendment of the Orders of the Civil Procedure Code and other matters and submit proposals for the sanction of Government.

Under Group C in the Annexure, are included:—

(i) Recommendations on which Government have to issue necessary orders.
(ii) Recommendations for amending Regulations. Government will, in respect of these, take necessary action in due course.
(iii) Recommendations involving additional expenditure.

The Judges of the Chief Court were consulted as regards these recommendations and they have submitted final proposals in the matter.

5. Government are pleased to pass orders on the above proposals as follows:—

(1) To clear off the existing arrears in the Chief Court, a temporary Additional District Judge's Court will be established at Bangalore for a period not exceeding one year, instead of an Additional Judge to the Chief Court as recommended by the Laws' Delays Committee.

(2) As recommended by the Committee the establishment of a Sub-Judge's Court at Bangalore for a period not exceeding two years is sanctioned. Provision will for the present be made for a period of one year before the end of which the Chief Court may recommend the probable period not exceeding another year for which the Court may be continued.

(3) To clear off the arrears in the District Judge's and Sub-Judge's Courts at Shimoga, the Committee recommend the establishment of a temporary Additional Sub-Judge's Court for a period of one year. Government consider that the pendency of appeals in the above courts at Shimoga is not so large as to necessitate the establishment of an Additional Sub-Court and that the District Judge and Sub-Judge of Shimoga may distribute the appellate work more or less equally between them and bring the work up-to-date.

(4) Small Cause Courts will be established at Bangalore and Mysore as recommended by the Committee. The Small Cause Court at Mysore will, however, be temporary for a period of two years in the first instance and the question of making it permanent or otherwise after that period will be considered in the light of experience gained in the working of the Court. With effect from the date of establishment of a separate Small Cause Court

at Mysore, the temporary Sub-Judge's Court now working at that station will be abolished. To equalise the work as far as possible, between the permanent Sub-Court at Mysore and the new Small Cause Court, the latter will be invested with the power to hear appeals against the decisions of Munsiffs in the Mysore Division.

(5) *Munsiffs' Courts—Permanent.*

The recommendations of the Laws' Delays Committee to establish a Munsiff's Court at each of the following places, *viz.*, Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur are sanctioned. The Additional Munsiffs' Courts at Mysore and Bangalore will accordingly be transferred to Mandya and Closepet respectively, with separate clerical establishments, and a new Court will be established at Hunsur.

Munsiffs' Courts—Temporary.

The Committee recommend the establishment of four temporary Munsiffs' Courts for one year for clearing the arrears in certain Munsiffs' Courts. Government consider that three such Courts may be established for the present so as to reduce cost. The Chief Court may post the Additional Munsiffs to such places and for such periods as they considered necessary. The Additional Courts will be dispensed with after one year.

(6) *Process Establishment.*

The Committee have recommended increase of pay and grant of bonus to process servers. In view of the large increase of expenditure involved in giving effect to the various recommendations of the Committee and the increased facilities given to the public by the establishment of additional courts, Government consider that an all-round increase of 25 per cent in the rates of process fees is necessary and direct that the rates be increased accordingly with effect from 1st July 1927. The additional realisations consequent on the enhancement of fees will be utilised for meeting the increased cost on account of the measures for the improvement in the pay of the process establishment including process nazirs. The Chief Court are requested to submit detailed proposals in time.

(7) *Ministerial Establishment.*

A sum of Rs. 20,000 will be provided in the next year's budget for the reorganisation of the ministerial establishment and for the additional establishment recommended by the Committee for the existing courts.

6. These arrangements will come into effect from 1st July 1927.

7. Pending the receipt of detailed proposals from the Chief Court *re* the expenditure in connection with the establishment of new courts, etc., and orders of Government thereon, a lump sum provision of Rs. 1,20,000 inclusive of the expenditure to be incurred for the reorganisation of the process establishment will be made in the budget estimates of the Judicial Department for 1927-28. The Chief Court are requested to forward the detailed proposals at an early date for the sanction of Government, limiting the extra expenditure to the said provision for the next year.

8. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Mysore, Shimoga and Chitaldrug are requested to submit proposals, in consultation with the District Judges concerned, for the accommodation of the new courts

9. The question of the Combination of Civil and Criminal functions will be considered after receipt of further information called for from the Chief Court.

10. In conclusion, Government wish to express their obligation to the President and Members of the Committee for the elaborate enquiry into the defects of the existing system of judicial administration which they have conducted and for the very interesting and valuable report which they have presented. Government have hopes that the improvements that will be effected as a result of this enquiry will mark a step forward in the administration of justice in the State.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.
The Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.
The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Mysore, Shimoga and Chitaldrug Districts.
The Secretary, Laws' Delays' Committee.
The Members of the Laws' Delays' Committee.

PRESS TABLE.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

ANNEXURE A.

Recommendations on which the Chief Court can pass final orders.

Serial No.	Subject	Reference to paragraph of the Report
1	Rule directing that in lengthy and complicated plaints, the filing of concise statements instead of copies should be made use of to a greater extent.	17
2	Preparation of processes by the parties themselves on forms supplied by Court.	21
3	Personal service of processes should be the rule and at any rate the ideal to be aimed at.	25
4	Service by advertisement in newspapers	26
5	Stricter insistence upon proper pleadings	63
6	Examination of the parties as early as possible before issues are framed.	64
7	Filing of the lists of documents by plaintiff and defendant ...	68 and 69
8	Seeing that proper discovery of documents is made and admissions asked for before trial.	70
9	Improvement in framing and correct settlement of issues ...	71
10	Rule to be made by which parties may be allowed to serve processes on their own witnesses.	87
11	Enforcement of the payment of "adjournment" batta due to witnesses for the next hearing.	86
12	Discretion in granting adjournments	89
13	Enforcement of the rule that the hearing of a suit once begun should proceed from day to day.	90
14	Enforcement of the rule as to 'opening' a case	94
15	Observance of Rule in Chapter X of the Evidence Act	96
16	Giving priority to cases, pending disposal of which other cases are held up.	135
17	Hearing of arguments as early as possible after closing of evidence...	112
18	Scrutinising daily or weekly arrear list of processes pending preparation.	295
19	Greater use of the provisions of Order III, Rule 5, C. P. C. in regard to the service of processes on pleaders in interlocutory proceedings.	310

51

No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

ANNEXURE B.

Recommenations on which the Chief Court have to take action.

Serial No.	Subject	Reference to paragraph of the Report
	(i) Under the Rule-making power—Part—X. C. P. C.	
1	Rule directing that necessary copies of the plaint or abstract should be filed with the plaint.	16
2	Rule directing process fees should be paid with the plaint ...	18 and 293
3	Rule directing process fees to be paid with memos of appeals and second appeals also.	19
4	Rule directing service of processes by registered post, as auxiliary to that through the process server.	27
5	Amendment of Order XXXII, Rule 3 (4)	28
6	Proposing that the first application itself filed by the plaintiff must contain the names of all persons for appointment of a guardian *ad litem*.	31
7	Amendment of Order IX, Rule 13	39 and 40
8	Suggestions for minimising delays in the matter of attendance of witnesses. (Some of these suggestions will require action on the administrative side).	78, 81, 83 and 86.
9	Service of notice in interlocutory applications on pleaders ...	130
10	Amendment of Order XVI, Rule 2 (1)	84
11	Alterations of the Rules relating to the system of recording evidence.	106 and 110
12	Recording evidence in Shorthand as an experimental measure in the Courts of District Judges.	109
13	Dictating judgments in Shorthand and amendment of Order XX and XLI.	116 and 226
14	Amendment of Rules in Order XXXIV to provide for one decree in mortgage suits and reduction of time allowed to judgment-debtor.	125
15	Signature of pleaders to be obtained in decrees	120
	Execution.	
16	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 2	178
17	Do 11 (2) *a*, *b*, *c* and *h*	160
18	Do 16 *re* assignment of decrees ...	171
19	Do 17	163
20	Do 24	182
21	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 26, clause (3) to make security obligatory in the absence of sufficient cause.	183
22	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 31, clause (2)	184
23	Do 32, clause (3)	185
24	Do 37	186
25	Rule allowing executing court to send its own amin to arest judgment-debtor found outside its jurisdiction.	188
26	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 39, clause (5)	187
27	Addition of Rules in Order XXI to provide something in the nature of a garnishee procedure.	192
28	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 53	193
29	Do 54	195 and 196
30	Do 57	197
31	Do 58	200
32	Do 66	202
33	Do 67	203
34	Do 68	204
35	Do 69 (1)	205
36	Do 63	207
37	Modification of Order XXI, Rule 89, clause (1) .. .	209
38	Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 90	211
39	Do 98	215
40	Amendment and addition of suitable rules to Order XXVI ..	143

No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

Serial No.	Subject	Reference to paragraph of the Report
	(ii) Under other rule-making powers or administrative orders.	
1	Fixing periods for the disposal of several classes of litigation ...	56 and 57
2	Scrutiny of judgments pending delivery for more than ten days, included in the monthly return sent to superior courts.	115
3	Insistence of the rule in the matter of giving a fixed date for the delivery of judgments.	118
4	Payment of interest under Section 34, Civil Procedure Code to be within the discretion of Civil Courts.	128
5	Improvement in the selection of commissioners appointed under Order XXVI, Rules 11 and 12 to examine accounts.	144 and 145
6	Alteration in the rules under which lists are kept up of persons ready to accept commissions under Order XXVI, Rule 4 and as to the selection of such persons.	144 and 145
7	Execution petitions to be brought up for orders without delay and corrections where necessary to be made by the Court itself.	162
8	Increased facilities for payment of decretal amounts to the Court or decree-holder by money order.	179 and 180
9	Noting applications undisposed of for payment of decree amounts in the Civil monthly returns.	181
10	Registration of plaints or proceedings soon after their presentation ...	294
11	Discontinuance of the practice of employing process servers as orderlies.	297
12	Avoiding of delay in the writing and issue of processes and addition of suitable columns in Register No. A.	298 and 85
13	New form of B register and scrutiny of the entries thereto and distribution of processes by circles and beats.	299
14	Re-issue of processes automatically by process nazir	300
15	Party to give sufficient information in the application to enable the process server to identify the person to be served.	301
16	Revision of the form of affidavit used by process servers ...	302
17	Better selection of process nazirs	304
18	Scrutiny of monthly and quarterly process statements by the District Judges and closer supervision over the work of individual process servers by presiding officers.	305
19	Greater use of bicycles by servers and amins when going out for serving processes.	306
20	Revised form of process servers' work ticket and maintenance of a pocket diary by process servers and amins and checking the same along with the work ticket.	307
21	Service by post as an additional mode of service upon a party ...	311
22	Reduction of printing charges	375
23	Typing of records in cases heard by a single judge of the Chief Court	377
24	Abolition of the existing system of typing records	378
25	Avoiding delay in the payment of printing charges	379
26	Separate grants for the purchase of books and law journals for all the Civil Courts.	381
27	Accommodation in the Chief Court for a Court Hall for the Revision Court.	383
28	Rules in regard to apprenticeship of Advocates and Pleaders ...	391
29	Increase in the scale of remuneration to Legal Practitioners ...	396 and 397
30	Introduction of a rule for certificates being filed by an advocate or pleader for having received the Regulation fee.	402
31	Rules regarding Touting	404 and 405
32	Framing rules *re* recognised clerks of Legal Practitioners ...	406

5 3

No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

ANNEXURE C.

Recommendations on which Government have to take action and pass orders.

Serial No.	Subject	Reference to paragraph of the Report
	I.	
1	Amendment of Schedule to Small Cause Courts Regulation ...	256 and 257
2	Importance of the duty cast on disbursing and Audit Officers in regard to attachment of salary or allowances of public servants.	191
3	Instructions to the officers concerned in the case of partitions to be effected under section 54 or 54 A, Civil Procedure Code.	220
4	Provision for emergent work during vacations	223
5	Rules for recruitment of judicial officers	269 and 274
6	Amendment of the Civil Service Rules in regard to the appointment of District Judges.	276
7	First appeals to lie in the District Court, Bangalore, as in other Divisions and second appeals to the Chief Court.	329 and 330
8	Transfer of first appeals to the Sub-Court, Bangalore, by the District Judge, Bangalore.	336
9	Introduction of type-written copies	361
10	Providing accommodation for locating a Press in the Chief Court for printing records of civil appeals.	372
	II.	
	A. Amendments in the Civil Procedure Code Regulation, III of 1911.	
1	Simultaneous execution of same decree in different courts and amendment of section 38.	167
2	Amendments in sections 39 and 42	168 to 170
3	Amendments in and enlargement of the scope of section 47 ...	172 to 174
4	Amendment of section 60 clause (*h*)	189
5	Do section 145	211
6	Punishment of resistance to execution as contempt and amendment of section 74.	214 and 215
7	Amendment of section 48	218
8	Do do 73	221
	B. Limitation Regulation.	
9	Amendment of section 14	238
10	Do do 19	239 to 242
11	Do do 20	239 to 242
12	Amendment of article 85	243
13	Do articles 92, 93, 95 and 96	244
14	Do do 128 and 129	246
15	Do article 141	245 and 247
16	Do do 166	248
17	Do do 182	218
	C. Evidence Act.	
18	Amendment of section 65 by adding a new clause (*h*) ...	250
19	Presumption in case of registered documents	251
	D. Transfer of Property Regulation.	
20	Amendment of sections 54 and 107	236
	E. Amendments in other laws, e.g., Stamps, Court Fees, etc.	
21	Levying of stamp duty and the registration charges on a fixed basis instead of *ad valorem* on instruments in writing and registration of deeds of partition.	232

No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927.

Serial No.	Subject	Reference of paragraph of the Report
22	Compulsory registration of adoption deed when executed ...	233
23	Partnerships deeds to be in writing	234 and 235
24	Payment of court fees in instalments	384 and 385
	III.	
	Recommendations involving extra expenditure.	
	Temporary.	
1	An additional Judge for the Chief Court for a period of one year to dispose of old appeals.	332
2	An additional Sub-Court at Bangalore for a period of two years ...	337
3	Do at Shimoga for a period of one year ...	341
4	One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Munsiff's Courts, Bangalore.	356
5	One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Chikballapur Munsiffs' Court.	356
6	One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Shimoga and Sagar Munsiffs' Courts.	356
7	One Munsiff's Court for a period of one year to clear off the arrears in the Chitaldrug and Davangere Munsiffs' Courts.	356
	Permanent.	
8	Re-organisation of the ministerial establishment of Civil Courts including the Chief Court.	283 and 288
9	Twenty-one additional clerks for all the Civil Courts in the State ...	284
10	Reorganisation of the Process Department	312 and 315
11	Two Small Cause Courts at Bangalore and Mysore	344
12	Three Munsiffs' Courts—one for Mandya, one for Closepet and one for Hunsur and shifting of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur to Tiptur.	350 to 352
13	Shifting of the Munsiff's Court at Narasimharajapura to Tarikere and the abolition of the Special Magistrate's Court, Tarikere and distribution of the criminal work of the Magistrate's Court between the Munsiffs of Narasimharajapura and Chikmagalur.	353
14	Expediting translation work in the Chief Court and increase of Translators.	380

WD 2082 -GPB—1,015—13-4-27

To

The Registrar,

Chief Court.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.ROC.971/26-7, dated 16th March 1927, forwarding the details of cost in connection with the establishment of additional courts and the revision of the ministerial and process establishments of courts and to G.O.No.P.5239-53/Cts. 263-26-1 dated 4th April 1927 passing orders on the recommendations of the Laws' Delays' Committee, I am directed to observe that the lump sum provision of Rs.1,20,000/- made in the next year's budget for meeting the extra cost on account of the reforms has been calculated on the basis of certain reductions in the amounts proposed by the Chief Court under the ~~several~~ heads. For the Additional District Court, Bangalore, Government are of opinion that the proposed clerical establishment can be reduced by half. As the court will run only on a temporary basis, there is no need of any graded pay and a sum of -- about Rs.4500/- can be saved. For the additional Sub-Court at Bangalore, four clerks are proposed. Government consider that the court being a temporary one, the establishment may be limited to what is recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee. Similarly, for the Small Cause

Court

Court at Mysore, the establishment may be limited to the same extent as that of a temporary Sub-Court and some of the clerical establishment of Sub-Court and other Courts from which Small Cause cases will be transferred may, if necessary, be transferred to the Small Cause Court.

For The Small Cause Court at Bangalore, Government observe that a sum of Rs.1000/- for furniture will be quite sufficient and the furniture of the present additional Sub-Court at Mysore may be utilised for the Small Cause Court at Mysore. Similarly for the Munsiffs' Courts at Closepet, Mandya and Munsur, the amount provided under furniture may at present ~~very well~~ be reduced to Rs.1000 for each court. The amount provided for furniture for all the temporary courts may be reduced to Rs.3000/-. Government consider that it is not necessary to provide these courts with the full complement of furniture. After the temporary courts cease to exist, the furniture may be transferred to the permanent courts.

Government consider that a reduction in the revision of process establishment is possible and the cost on this account may not exceed Rs.30,000/-.

I am to request you to forward revised proposals in the light of the observations made above not exceeding the provision made in the budget.

I have, etc.,

6/4

Secretary, Law Dept.

KNN

D.O.No.P. 5738(1)

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to Government Order No.P.5239-53/Cts.263-26-1 dated 4-4-1927 and to state that Government have practically accepted the principle that process fees should pay the cost of the service rendered, and Government would like to know whether court fees as a whole are just doing this or are contributing to the general revenues or on the other hand, whether the courts are working at a loss. I am therefore to request you to strike and forward a balance sheet as between the income from court fees and the expenditure on courts in the manner suggested in para 355 of the Taxation Enquiry Committee's report, if that is practicable in Mysore and if it is not, to suggest such modifications in the forms of accounts as will make it practicable hereafter.

Yours sincerely,

To

N.S.Subba Rao Esq:,M.A.,Bar-at-Law,
Special Officer.

Cts 263-26-4 54

Letter No.R.O.C. 991/26-27 dated the 13th June 1927 from the Registrar, Chief Court, to the Secretary to GOvernment, Law Department.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 5277/Cts 263-26-2 dated the 5th April 1927, calling for revised proposals for the establishment of certain additional courts and the revision of ministerial and process establishments, limiting the expenditure to the lump sum grant of Rs. 1,20,000 provided in the next year's budget.

2. Out of the lump sum provision of Rs. 1,20,000 made in the next year's budget, Rs. 20,000 is allotted for the re-organisation of the clerical establishment, Rs,30,000 for the process establishment, and the balance of Rs. 70,000/- for the additional courts, permanent and temporary.

3. The Chief court has felt some difficulty in restricting the cost of the revised proposals to the amount provided in the next year's budget, especially as regards the re-organisation of the ministerial and process establishments.

4. Regarding the additional courts, the proposals appended herewith will explain themselves. After they are sanctioned by Government it will be necessary to transfer some amins, process servers, and clerks from existing court courts to the newly established courts or Vice Versa and compatible with the revised territorial jurisdiction of the several courts to be eventually established fixed. Regarding this a separate reference will be made in due course.

5. Owing to the reduction of grant to Rs.30,000/- for the re-organisation of the process establishment, it has become necessary to suggest a lower scale than was recommended by the Laws' Delays' committee.

6.

5°9

6. The greatest difficulty was experienced in regard to the re-organisation of the ministerial establishment, in view of the fact that a sum of only Rs. 20,000/- was made ava available for a department employing nearly 350 officials, provision having had to be made for 21 extra hands also as recommended by the Laws' Delays' Committee. Though the average extra cost of the scale now proposed will amount to Rs. 33,738 per annum. according to the arbitrary method of calculating extra cost, in view of the fact that the times scale has the advantage of reducing the extra expenditure to be incurred on leave and acting allowances, it is hoped that the proposed scale will commend itself to Government. It may be added that so far as the actual extra cost for the next official year is concerned care will be taken to limit it to the provision of Rs. 20,000/- made in the budget in accordance with the suggestion of Government contained in paragraph 7 of G.O. No. P. 5239-53 /Cts 263-26-1 dated the 4th April 1927. I am to add that it is only on this basis of actual as opposed to average cost that a re-organisation even on the very moderate scale now proposed is possible and not otherwise as it will be difficult to give any appreciable relief to the long suffering ministerial establishment if the rule of average cost is to be strictly applied.

7. In the light of the circumstances stated above, I am to request that Government may be pleased to convey final sanction to the proposals now sent up. After these proposals are sanctioned by Government, formal proposition statements will be sent up. As regards the location of the courts, territorial jurisdiction, transfer of officials etc., separate refrence will be made later on after considering the Special report of Mr. Justice C.S. Doraswami Iyer.

Copy

I. ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE. (Temporary).

		Cost per annum.
1. District Judge at Rs.800/- ..		9,600/-
Establishment.		
1 Clerk on Rs.50/- = 50 1 " 40/- = 40 1 " 35/- = 35 125	..	1,500/-
1 Mutchi on Rs.15/- = 15 1 Daffedar on Rs.13/- = 13 1 Peon on Rs.10/- = 10 1 " 9/- = 9 47	..	564/-
		11,664/-
Contingencies		100/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts) vide Munsiffs' Courts No.IV.		.....
	.Total	11,764/-

II. ADDITIONAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE. (Temporary).

1 Subordinate Judge at Rs.500-50-600 ..		6,000/-
Establishment.		
1 Clerk on Rs.40/- = 40/- 1 " 35/- = 35/- 75	..	900/-
1 Mutchi on Rs.13/- = 13 1 Daffedar on Rs.12/- = 12 1 Peon on Rs.10/- = 10 1 " 9/- = 9 44	..	528/-
		7,428/-
Contingencies .. ,,		75/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts) vide Munsiffs' Courts No.IV.		- .....
	Total	7,503/-

III.

III. SMALL CAUSE COURT, MYSORE.(Temporary).

		Cost per annum.
Same as No.II ..	..Total	7,503/-
plus 1 Clerk on Rs.30/-		360/-
		7,863/-

vide note (a) at the end.

IV. TEMPORARY MUNSIFFS' COURTS -- 3 in all.

3 Munsiffs at Rs.150/- minimum each ..		5,400/-
Establishment.		
3 Clerks on Rs.35/- = 105/-		
3 " 30/- = 90	..	2,340/-
195		
Daffedars 3 (11) = 33		
Peons 3 (10) = 30	..	1,080/-
" 3 (9) = 27		
90		
		8,820/-
Contingencies Rs.75/- each ..		225/-
	Total	9,045/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts). ..		3,000/-
		12,045/-

V. SMALL CAUSE COURT - Bangalore (Permanent).

1 Judge at Rs.500-50-600 = 500 (minimum)..		6,000/-
Establishment.		
Clerks 1 (60-4--80) = 60 (minimum) (b)*		
" 2 (45-3--60) = 90 "		
" 4 (30-2½-45) = 120 "	..	4,740/-
" 5 (25-1--30) = 125		
395		
1 Mutchi on Rs.13/- = 13		
1 Daffedar on Rs.12/- = 12		
Peons 2 (10) = 20	..	648/-
Peon 1 (9) = 9		
54		
		11,388/-
Contingencies		100/-
Furniture		1,000/-
	Total.	12,488/-

(b)* vide note (c) at the end.

VI. MUNSIFFS' COURTS AT MANDYA, HUNSUR AND CLOSEPET.

	Cost per annum.
1 Munsiff on Rs.150/- (minimum) for Hunsur ..	1,800/-
(The Additional Munsiffs of Mysore and Bangalore will be transferred to Mandya and Hunsur respectively). Closepet	
Court establishment.	
Head Munshies - 3(45-3-60) =135 (minimum)	
Clerks .. .. 12(30-2½-45) =360 "	
" .. .. 15(25-1-30) =375 "	.10,440/-
870	
Gollars .. 3(10) = 30	
Daffedars .. 3(11) = 33	
Peons .. 3(10) = 30 ..	1,440/-
" .. 3(9) = 27	
120	
	13,680/-
Deduct the cost of the present clerical and menial establishments of the Additional Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore and Mysore already made permanent, which will be transferred to Closepet and Mandya respectively.	2,520/-
Net ~~Total~~	11,160/-
Contingencies Rs.75/- each court ..	225/-
Furniture Rs.1000/- each court ..	3,000/-
Process establishment. ⊗	
Process Nazirs ~~3~~	
In addition to the hands that are to be transferred from the courts, the jurisdiction of which will be transferred to these new courts. Amins .. 3(35-3-50) =105(minimum)	
Amins .. 4(20-1-26) = 80 "	
Servers . 13(11-½-18) =143 " ..	3,936/-
328	
Contingencies to Process establishment Rs.25/- each court ..	75/-
Total	18,396/-

⊗ Vide note (c) at the end.

MM

Total cost of all the items I to VI.

I	.. ..	Rs. 11,764/-
II	.. ..	Rs. 7,503/-
III	.. ..	Rs. 7,863/-
IV	.. ..	Rs. 12,045/-
V	.. ..	Rs. 12,488/-
VI	.. ..	Rs. 18,396/-
	Total	Rs. 70,059/- per annum.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore Dated, 13th June 1917

M Srinivasaiyangar
for REGISTRAR.

P.T.O. for explanatory Notes

(a) Though the Small Cause Court, Mysore is temporary for the present (for 2 years as stated in the Government Order) it is necessary that the court should be provided with a full complement of hands equal to that of a permanent court, more especially as the nature of the wrok is not similar to that in a temporary Subordinate Judge's Court and is much different in view of the fact that the Court will have an independent and specific jurisdiction. The question of transfer of a few officials to this court from other courts as suggested in the Government Ofder will be considered and a separate reference in the matter made later. One hand has been added by omitting Gollars for temporary Munsiffs' Courts.

(b)Minimum pay is taken into account as officials newly to be appointed will draw minimum pay during the official year.

(c) The staff of Amins and Process Servers will be quite inadequate even in spite of transferring some few hands from the permanent courts. A separate subsequent reference will have to be made regarding this and the clerical staff for the Mysore Small Cause Court.

13.6.27.

pnk.

I. ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE. (Temporary).

		Cost per annum.
1. District Judge at Rs.800/- ..		9,600/-
Establishment.		
1 Clerk on Rs.50/- = 50		
1 " 40/- = 40	..	1,500/-
1 " 35/- = 35		
125		
1 Mutchi on Rs.15/- = 15		
1 Daffedar on Rs.13/- = 13		
1 Peon on Rs.10/- = 10	..	564/-
1 " 9/- = 9		
47		
		11,664/-
Contingencies		100/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts) vide Munsiffs' Courts No.IV.		.....
Total		11,764/-

II. ADDITIONAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE. (Temporary).

1 Subordinate Judge at Rs.500-50-600 ..		6,000/-
Establishment.		
1 Clerk on Rs.40/- = 40/-		
1 " 35/- = 35/-	..	900/-
75		
1 Mutchi on Rs.13/- = 13		
1 Daffedar on Rs.12/- = 12		
1 Peon on Rs.10/- = 10	..	528/-
1 " 9/- = 9		
44		
		7,428/-
Contingencies		75/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts) vide Munsiffs' Courts No.IV.		- .....
Total		7,503/-

III.

III. SMALL CAUSE COURT, MYSORE.(Temporary).

		Cost per annum.
Same as No.II ..	..Total	7,503/-
plus 1 Clerk on Rs.30/-		360/-
Vide Note (a) attached.		7,863/-

IV. TEMPORARY MUNSIFFS' COURTS -- 3 in all.

3 Munsiffs at Rs.150/- minimum each ..		5,400/-
Establishment.		
3 Clerks on Rs.35/- = 105/-		
3 " 30/- = 90		
195	..	2,340/-
Daffedars 3 (11) = 33		
Peons 3 (10) = 30		
" 3 (9) = 27	..	1,080/-
90		
		8,820/-
Contingencies Rs.75/- each ..		225/-
	Total	9,045/-
Furniture (Rs.3000/- for all temporary courts). ..		3,000/-
		12,045/-

V. SMALL CAUSE COURT - Bangalore (Permanent).

1 Judge at Rs.500-50-600 = 500 (minimum)..		6,000/-
Establishment.		
Clerks 1 (60-4--80) = 60 (b) (minimum)		
" 2 (45-3--60) = 90 "		
" 4 (30-2½-45) =120 "	..	4,740/-
" 5 (25-1--30) =125		
395		
1 Mutchi on Rs.13/- = 13		
1 Daffedar on Rs.12/- = 12		
Peons 2 (10) = 20	..	648/-
Peon 1 (9) = 9		
54		
		11,388/-
Contingencies		100/-
Furniture		1,000/-
* Vide Note (b) attached.	Total.	12,488/-

VI.

VI. MUNSIFFS' COURTS AT MANDYA, HUNSUR AND CLOSEPET.

	Cost per annum.
1 Munsiff on Rs.150/- (minimum) for Hunsur ..	1,800/-
(The Additional Munsiffs of Mysore and Bangalore will be transferred to Mandya and Hunsur respectively).	
Court establishment.	
Head Munshies - 3(45-3-60) =135 (minimum)	
Clerks .. .. 12(30-2½-45) =360 "	
" .. .. 15(25-1-30) =375 "	.10,440/-
870	
Collars .. 3(10) = 30	
Daffedars .. 3(11) = 33	
Peons .. 3(10) = 30	.. 1,440/-
" .. 3(9) = 27	
120	
	13,680/-
Deduct the cost of the present clerical and menial establishments of the Additional Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore and Mysore already made permanent, which will be transferred to Closepet and Mandya respectively.	2,520/-
Net ~~Total~~	11,160/-
Contingencies Rs.75/- each court ..	225/-
Furniture Rs.1000/- each court ..	3,000/-
Process establishment. ⊗	
Process Nazirs ~~3~~ 3(35-3-50) =105(minimum)	
In addition to the hands that are to be transferred from the courts, the jurisdiction of which will be transferred to these new courts. Amins .. 4(20-1-26) = 80 "	
Servers . 13(11-½-18) =143 "	.. 3,936/-
328	
Contingencies to Process establishment Rs.25/- each court ..	75/-
⊗ Vide Rule (c) at the end. Total	18,396/-

MJ

Total cost of all the items I to VI.

I	.. ..	Rs. 11,764/-
II	.. ..	Rs. 7,503/-
III	.. ..	Rs. 7,863/-
IV	.. ..	Rs. 12,045/-
V	.. ..	Rs. 12,488/-
VI	.. ..	Rs. 18,396/-
	Total	Rs. 70,059/- per annum.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore Dated, 13th June 49

M Srinivasaiyan
for REGISTRAR.

P.T.O. for Explanatory Notes.

(a) Though the Small Cause Court, Mysore is temporary for the present (for 2 years as stated in the Government Order) it is necessary that the court should be provided with a full complement of hands equal to that of a permanent court, more especially as the nature of the wrok is not similar to that in a temporary Subordinate Judge's Court and is much different in view of the fact that the Court will have an independent and specific jurisdiction. The question of transfer of a few officials to this court from other courts as suggested in the Government Order will be considered and a separate reference in the matter made later. One hand has been added by omitting Collars for temporary Munsiffs' Courts.

(b)Minimum pay is taken into account as officials newly to be appointed will draw minimum pay during the official year.

(c) The staff of Amins and Process Servers will be quite inadequate even in spite of transferring some few hands from the permanent courts. A separate subsequent reference will have to be made regarding this and the clerical staff for the Mysore Small Cause Court.

M.

pnk.

7.0

[P. F. VI. 61.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 4/8/27

Signed by M. Ed

List of enclosures.....

Copied by KTS

Examined by.....

Section C5
File No. 263-26
Serial No 5
No. J 818(1)

To
The Registrar Chief Court
Sind.

With reference to your letter no Revn 991/26-27 J/13.6.2 Submitting proposals in the matter of the establishment of additional Civil courts, and the revision of the ministerial and process establishments, I am directed to state that the above matter may be considered in a meeting of the judges of the Chief Court with the members proposed to be held in August 1927 and report on the same with the opinion of Court Commissioner of Civil and Criminal

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

... dice former ... further ...
... from ... the ...
Court. In this connection ...
... invited ... attention ...
... from the speech of ...
... Bhabhushana Diwan Bahadur ...
... Pakianna Mudaly at the ...
... meeting of the Legislative Council ...
... with the reply of the President ...
... the matter of not raising ...
fees in munsiffs' courts and ...
the same to the Madras ...
... scale in other courts.

There is also the proposal to fix two rates of process fees - one for S.C. courts & the other for the other courts referred to in this office no P.157-CS 781-25-Dy 6.7.27

These questions may also ... be considered ...
... in connection with ...
... and hints of the proposed ... will be intimated to you ...

Yours ...

It may be added that in view of the financial position it will be desirable to increase the cost of process fees without increasing the fees; & the possible ways of realizations by adopting the various methods may also kindly be intimated for discussion at the meeting

Dy
27/7 Diwan Peshi

APR
26/7

Subjects fort the conference with the Judges of the Chief Court to be held in August 1927.

---

1. Report of Mr. Justice C.S.Doraswami Iyer on the combination of Civil amd magisterial functions.

2. Fixing the rates of process fees.

   (a) Two rates viz., one for the Small Cause Courts and the other, a slightly higher rate for the other courts.

   (b) Fees to be raised in the higher courts and not in the Munsiffs' courts.

   (c) Increasing the fees by 25% all round as ordered.

3. Revision of the Process Establishment.

4. Establishment of additional courts as per orders on the repor of the Laws'x Delays' committee. re additional cost.

5. Revision of the ministerial establishment of civil courts.

N, B. Mr Mir Hamza Hussein to be invited to the conference.

App.F[illegible]

SUBJECT

73

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 13.10.27

Signed by Mrs. J. S. Mudd

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Camp No. P. 98/25

Enc. one.

To The Registrar
Chief Court

Sir.

In returning herewith the statement (received from the chief judge) showing the clerical staff allotted to the several courts in the State, including the new courts, with effect from 14.10.27, I am directed to state that so far as the new courts are concerned, the allocation involves departure (in some cases), both in part of the number of clerks and the scale of pay, from the proposals contained in your letter No RDC 991/26-27 dt 13.6.27. ~~The new allocation has is stated have been agreed by the Chief~~

over

74 21

~~Court to take effect from 14-10-27~~

I am to request that revised proposals ~~for~~ on the basis of the said allocation may be forwarded for the sanction of Govt, showing the financial effect of the new proposals:

It is understood that instructions have been issued by the Chief Court to the various Courts to give effect to the new allocation shortly

Madras 14.10.1927. Secretary

13/10 Law Dept

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,
Bangalore 22nd October 1927

R. O. C. No. 423
Dis. 27-8

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter Camp No.P.98/Cts., dated the 13th October 1927, returning the statement showing the allocation of the Ministerial and the process staff of Civil Courts, with the observation that so far as the new Courts are concerned, the allocation involves departure in some cases, both in point of the number of clerks and the scale of pay, from the proposals contained in my letter R.O.C. No.991 of 26-27, dated the 13th June 1927 and requesting to forward for the sanction of Government, revised proposals on the basis of the allocation showing therein the financial effect of the new proposal.

2. In reply, I am to forward herewith statements showing the new allocation for each court and the financial effect thereof and to request that Government may be pleased to accord sanction thereto with effect from the 14th October 1927.

3. With regard to the observation that there is a departure in the allocation statement from the proposals made by the Chief Court on 13th June 1927, I am to state the Chief Court has subsequently re-considered

re-considered the question and has fixed the number of hands and the pay of officials of the several Courts, permanent and temporary, as shown in the accompanying statement, thereby reducing the extra cost by about (c) Rs.400/- per annum. In this connection kind reference is invited also to my D.O. letter No. R.O.C. 991 of 26-27 dated the 15th September 1927, addressed to the Chief Secretary forwarding revised proposals regarding the scale of pay and the number of hands in each grade.

) Please see Explanatory memo attached to the file

I am to add that without a further experience of the working of the new courts and of the changes in the territorial jurisdiction it will not be possible to accurately estimate the quantity of work in each court and that the allocation now proposed may be considered as tentative, and liable to be revised, if in the light of experience in working the arrangement, any alteration, in the number of hands in each court is called for. A separate reference will be made in regard to this, if found necessary, for obtaining sanction of Government, and without raising the total number of hands as now proposed or involving extra cost to Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar. 25/4/27

VSM.

Enclosure to Chief Court Letter no Nos 423 /24-28 dt 22-10-1924

Statement shewing the present scale of establishment and the scale as now sanctioned for the Chief Court and each of the several subordinate civil courts - permanent and temporary. -

Present scale.			Sanctioned scale.		
		Pay.			Pay.
No.	Designation.		No.	Designation.	

EXISTING ESTABLISHMENT COURTS.

CHIEF COURT.

1	Head Clerk	120	6	150	142½	1	Head Clerk	125	10	175	162½
1	Clerk				100	1	Clerk	100	5	130	116
2	Clerks on Rs.97/-.				194	11	Clerks	40	4	100	825
2	Clerks on Rs.87/-.				174	20	Clerks	30-3-60-4-80			1185½
4	Clerks on Rs.77/-.				308	1	Stenographer	40	4	100	73
4	Clerks on Rs.67/-.				268	4	Typists	30-3-60-4-80			236½
4	Clerks on Rs.62/-.				248	(a) 4	Clerks	30	2	40	150
3	Clerks on Rs.55/-.				165	42					2748½
4	Clerks on Rs.50/-.				200						
4	Clerks on Rs.45/-.				180	1	Hutchi and Book binder.				25
3	Clerks on Rs.40/-.				120	3	Hutchies (23), (21), (19).				63
4	Clerks on Rs.35/-.				140						
2	Clerks on Rs.30/-.				60	1	Dufterband				16
	Shorthand allowance				10	5					104
38					2309½						
1	Hutchi and Book binder.				25						
3	Hutchies (20), 2(16).				52						
1	Dufterband				15						
5					90						
					2399½						

(a) See Explanatory Memo attached.

DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE.

1	Sheristedar	120	6	150	142½	1	Sheristedar	125	10	175	162½
1	Head Munshi	77	4	97	92	1	Head Munshi	80	4	100	95
1	Deputy Munshi	57	2	67	64½	2	Clerks	60	4	80	150
1	Head Clerk	57	2	67	64½	2	Clerks	45	3	60	112½
1	Clerk				82	5	Clerks	30	2	40	187½
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	6	Clerks	25	1	30	172½
1	Clerk	35	2	45	42½						
1	Clerk				40						
2	Clerks	30	2	40	75						
2	Clerks	25	1	30	57½						
1	Clerk				25						
13					756	17					880

Central

CENTRAL RECORDS, BANGALORE.

1	Head Record Keeper	57	2	67	64½	1	Head Record Keeper	60	4	80	75
1	Dy. Record Keeper	30	2	40	37½	1	Dy. Record Keeper	45	3	60	56½
2	Asst. Record Keepers on Rs.30/-				60	3	Asst. Record Keepers	30	2	40	112½
1	Asst. Record Keeper	25	1	30	28½	6	-do-	25	1	30	172½
1	Asst. Record Keeper				25						
3	Asst. Record Keepers	20	1	25	71½						
9					287	11					416½
					1025						1296½

DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, MYSORE.

1	Sheristedar	120	6	150	142½	1	Sheristedar	125	10	175	162½
1	Head Munshi	77	4	97	92	1	Head Munshi	80	4	100	95
1	Deputy Munshi	57	2	67	64½	2	Clerks	60	4	80	150
1	Head Munshi	57	2	67	64½	2	Clerks	45	3	60	112½
1	Clerk				67	3	Clerks	30	2	40	112½
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	3	Clerks	25	1	30	86½
1	Clerk	35	2	45	42½						
2	Clerks	30	2	40	75						
2	Clerks	25	1	30	57½						
1	Clerk				25						
12					683	12					718½

CENTRAL RECORDS, MYSORE.

1	Head Record Keeper	57	2	67	64½	1	Head Record Keeper	60	4	80	75
1	Dy. Record Keeper	30	2	40	37½	1	Dy. Record Keeper	45	3	60	56½
2	Asst. Record Keepers	20	1	25	47½	1	Asst. Record Keeper	30	2	40	37½
						3	Asst. Record Keepers	25	1	30	86½
4					149½	6					255
					832½						973½

DISTRICT JUDGE'S COURT, SHIMOGA.

1	Sheristedar	120	6	150	142½	1	Sheristedar	125	10	175	162½
1	Head Munshi	77	4	97	92	1	Head Munshi	80	4	100	95
1	Dy. Munshi	57	2	67	64½	2	Clerks	60	4	80	150
1	Head Clerk	57	2	67	64½	1	Clerk	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	2	Clerks	30	2	40	75
1	Clerk	35	2	45	42½	4	Clerks	25	1	30	115
						11					653¾
2	Clerks	30	2	40	75						
2	Clerks	25	1	30	57½						
1	Clerk				25						
11					616						

CENTRAL RECORDS, SHIMOGA.

1	Head Record Keeper	57	2	67	64½	1	Head Record Keeper	60	4	80	75
1	Dy. Record Keeper	30	2	40	37½	1	Dy. Record Keeper	45	3	60	56¼
1	Asst. Record Keeper	20	1	25	23¾	1	Asst. Record Keeper	30	2	40	37½
						2	Asst. Record Keepers	25	1	30	57½
3					125¾	5					226¾
					741¾						880

SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT, BANGALORE.

1	Sheristedar	67	3	82	78½	1	Sheristedar	60	4	80	75
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	2	Clerks	45	3	60	112½
2	Clerks on Rs.40/-.				80	4	Clerks	30	2	40	150
4	Clerks on Rs.35/-.				140	5	Clerks	25	1	30	143¾
4	Clerks on Rs.30/-.				120						
2	Clerks on Rs.25/-.				50						
14					520¾	12					481¼

SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT, MYSORE.

1	Sheristedar	67	3	82	78½	1	Sheristedar	60	4	80	75
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	2	Clerks	45	3	60	112½
1	Clerk on Rs.40/-.				40	4	Clerks	30	2	40	150
3	Clerks on Rs.35/-.				105	5	Clerks	25	1	30	143¾
4	Clerks on Rs.30/-.				120						
2	Clerks on Rs.25/-.				50						
12					445¾	12					481¼

SUBORDINATE JUDGE'S COURT, SHIMOGA.

1	Sheristedar	67	3	82	78½	1	Sheristedar	60	4	80	75
1	Clerk	45	2	55	52½	2	Clerks	45	3	60	112½
1	.. on Rs. 40/-				40	4	..	30	2	40	150
3	Clerks .. 35/-				105	5	..	25	1	30	143¾
3	.. .. 30/-				90						
2	.. .. 25/-				50						
11					415¾	12					481¼

FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT, BANGALORE.

1	Head Munshi				55	1	Head Munshi	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk				40	5	Clerks	30	2	40	187½
1	..	30	1	35	33¾	6	..	25	1	30	172½
5	Clerks on Rs. 30/-				150						
6	.. .. 25/-				150						
14					428¾	12					416¼

SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT, BANGALORE.

1	Head Munshi				45	1	Head Munshi	45	3	50	56¼
1	Clerk				40	5	Clerks	30	2	40	187½
1	Clerk	30	1	35	35¼	5	Clerks	25	1	30	143¾
3	Clerks on Rs.30/-.				90						
4	Clerks on Rs.25/-.				100						
10					308¼	11					387½

MUNSIFF'S COURT, DODBALLAPUR.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	3	Clerks	...	30	2	40	112½
1	..	...	30	1 35	33½	4	..	...	25	1	30	115
2	Clerks on Rs.30/-	...			60							
3	.. .. 25/-	...			75							
8					253½	8						283¾

MUNSIFFS COURT, K O L A R.

1	Head Munshi	...			55	1	Head Munshi	...	46	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	5	Clerks	...	30	2	40	187½
1	..	...			35	6	..	...	25	1	30	172½
4	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			120							
5	.. .. 25/-	...			125							
12					375	12						416¾

MUNSIFF'S COURT, KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

1	Head Munshi	...			55	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
3	Clerks on Rs. 40/-	...			120	6	Clerks	...	30	2	40	225
4	.. .. 30/-	...			120	8	..	...	25	1	30	230
7	.. .. 25/-	...			175							
	K.G.F.allowance at 7(3), 7(2)				35		K.G.F.allowance	...				35
15					505	15						546¼

MUNSIFF'S COURT, CHIKBALLAPUR.

1	Head Munshi	...			55	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	..	...	30	1	35	7	..	...	25	1	30	201¼
3	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			90							
5	.. .. 25/-	...			125							
11					343¼	12						407¼

FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT, TUMKUR.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56⅔
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1 35	33⅓	7	,,	...	25	1	30	201⅔
4	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			120							
4	,, ,, 25/-	...			100							
11					338⅓	12						407⅓

SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT, TUMKUR.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56⅔
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1 35	33⅓	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
4	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			120							
3	,, ,, 25/-	...			75							
10					313⅓	11						378⅓

MUNSIFF'S COURT, MADDAGIRI.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	3	Clerks	...	30	2	40	112½
1	,,	...	30	1	35	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
1	,,	...			30							
4	Clerks on Rs. 25/-	...			100							
8					248¾	10						341¼

FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT, MYSORE.

1	Head Munshi	...			55	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	5	Clerks	...	30	2	40	187½
1	,,	...	30	1	35	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
3	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			90							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...			125							
11					343¾	12						416¼

SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT, MYSORE.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	5	,,	...	25	1	30	143¾
2	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				60							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
10						303⅓	10						350

MUNSIFF'S COURT, SERINGAPATAM.

1	Head Munshi	...			55	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	5	,,	...	25	1	30	143¾
3	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				90							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
18						343⅓	10						350

MUNSIFF'S COURT, NANJUNGUD.

1	Head Munshi	...				55	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...				40	5	Clerks	...	30	2	40	187½
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
3	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				90							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
11						343⅓	12						416¼

MUNSIFF'S COURT, HASSAN.

1	Head Munshi	...				45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...				40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
2	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				60							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
10						303⅓	11						378¾

MUNSIFF'S COURT, HOLE - NARASIPUR.

1	Head Munshi	...				45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...				40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	5	,,	...	25	1	30	143¾
2	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				60							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
10						303⅓	10						350

MUNSIFF'S COURT, SHIMOGA.

1	Head Munshi	...				45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...				40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	6	,,	...	25	1	30	172½
3	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				90							
4	,, ,, 25/-	...				100							
10						308⅓	11						378¾

MUNSIFF'S COURT, SAGAR.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	3	Clerks	...	30	2	40	112½
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	5	,,	...	25	1	30	143¾
1	,, on Rs. 30/-	...				30							
5	Clerks ,, 25/-	...				125							
9						273⅓	9						312½

MUNSIFF'S COURT, CHIKMAGALUR.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56¼
1	Clerk	...			40	4	Clerks	...	30	2	40	150
1	,,	...	30	1	35	33⅓	5	,,	...	25	1	30	143¾
2	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...				60							
5	,, ,, 25/-	...				125							
10						303⅓	10						350

MUNSIFF'S COURT, NARASIMHARAJAPURA.

1	Head Munshi	...			45	1	Head Munshi	...	45	3	60	56½
1	Clerk	...			40	3	Clerks	...	30	2	40	112½
1	,,	...	30	1	35	4	,,	...	25	1	30	115
2	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	...			60							
3	,, ,, 25/-	...			75							
8					253½	8						283½

N.G.

Munsiff's Court, Chitaldrug.

1.	Head Munshi.				45	1	Head Munshi	45	3	60	58½
1	Mm Clerk				40	4	Clerks	30	2	40	150
1	-do-	30	1	35	33⅓	6	-do-	25	1	30	172½
2	Clerks on R 30/-				60						
5	" 25/-				125						
10					303⅓	11					378½

Munsiff's Court, Davangere.

1	Head munshi.				45	1	Head Munshi.	45	3	60	58½
1	Clerk				40	4	Clerks.	30	2	40	150
1	-do-				35	6	-do-	25	1	30	143⅓
3	" on R 30/-.				90						
4	" " 25/-.				100						
10					310	10					350
346	Grand Total.				13103½	367					15340½
4	Add 2 clerks (35), (30), in addl. Munsiff's Court, Bangalore and also 2 clerks (35) (30) addl. Munsiff's court, Mysore at present employed.				65 / 65	4	Add 4 heads of the addl. Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore & Mysore transferred to Closepet and Hunsiya respectively.	2(30-2-40) 2(25-1-30)			132½
350					13323½	371					15472½

Deduct

Deduct present cost.	13323½
Extra Cost per month.	2156½ x11
Extra cost per annum.. .. .. (b) =	23823

The cost herein indicated does not include the special locality allowance.

The following temporary establishments will cease with effect from 14th October 1927.

(1) Central Records, Mysore, Clerks (30), (25), 2(20), Sutterband (13)

(2) Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam. (30), (25).

(3) Second Munsiff's Court, Bangalore (30).

(4) Munsiff's Court, Holenarsipur (30).

(b) See Explanatory Memo attached

Permanent courts, newly sanctioned

Small Cause court, B a n g a l o r e.

1	Sheristedar	60	½	80	75
2	Clerks.	45	3	60	112½
4	"	30	2	40	150
5	"	25	1	30	143½
12					481½
1	Hutchi				13
1	Daffedar				12
3	Peons @ 2(10) (9)				29
5					54
					535½

Munsiff's Court, Closepet.

Additional Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, ordered to be transferred to Closepet.		1	Head Munshi	45	3	60	56½
1 Clerk.	35	4	Clerks.	30	2	40	150
1 "	30	5	"	25	1	30	143¾
2	65	10					350
1 Cellar.	10						
1 Duffedar	11	1	Cellar				10
1 Peon	10	1	Duffedar				11
1 Sweeper	9	2	Peons (10), (9)				19
4	40	4					40
	105						390

Munsiff's Court, Nandya.

Addl.Munsiff's Court, Mysore, ordered to be transferred to Nandya.						60	
1 Clerk.	35	1	Head Munshi.	45	3	60	56½
1 "	30	4	Clerks.	30	2	40	150
2	65	5	"	25	1	30	143¾
		10					350
	10						
1 Cellar	11	1	Cellar				10
1 Duffedar.	10	1	Duffedar				11
1 Peon.	9	2	Peons (10), (9)				19
1 Sweeper	40	4					40
4	105						390

Munsiff's Court, Kunnur.

1	Head Munshi	45	3	60	56½
4	Clerks	30	2 ½	40	180
5	"	25	1	30	143½
10					380
1	Collar				10
1	Duffedar				11
2	Peons (10), (9)				19
4					40
					385

4 Clerks 8 Menials. Grand total.	210	42 clerks 17 Menials.		1705½
		4 clerks 8 Menials.	Deduct present cost.	210
		38 clerks 9 Menials.	Extra cost per month	1495½ x 1
			Extra cost per year	17942

Temporary

Temporary Courts.

Additional Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore.

1	Clerk	40	1	Clerk	40
1	Clerk	35	1	"	35
2		75	2		75
1	Mutchi	13	1	Mutchi	13
1	Duffedar	12	1	Duffedar	12
2	Peons (10), (9)	19	2	Peons (10), (9)	19
4		44	4		44
		119			119

N.B.- In addition to the above clerical establishment, 1 clerk on 30-2-40, 1 on 25-1-30 of the Sub Courts Mysore and 1 clerk on 30-2-40, 1 on 25-1-30 from each of the 1st & 2nd Munsiffs' Courts, Mysore, in all 6 clerks will work in this court.

Additional Subordinate Judge's court, Shimoga.

	1	Clerk	40
	1	"	30
	2		70
	1	Mutchi	13
	1	Duffedar	12
	2	Peons (10), (9)	19
	4		44
			114

Additional Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.

1	Clerk	35
1	Clerk	30
2		65
1	Duffedar	11
2	Peons (10), (9)	19
3		30
		95

Additional Munsiff's Court, Bidar.

1	Clerk	35
1	Clerk	30
2		65
1	Duffedar	11
2	Peons (10), (9)	19
3		30
		95

Additional Munsiff's Court, Chitaldrug.

1	Clerk	35
1	"	30
2		65
1	Duffedar	11
2	Peons (10), (9).	19
3		30
		95

2 Clerks 4 Menials.	Grand Total.	119	10 Clerks 17 Menials.	518

2 Clerks 4 Menials.	Deduct present cost	119
8 Clerks 13 Menials	Extra cost per month	399 x 12
	Extra cost per annum.	= 4788

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, 22nd October 1927.

Theerumalappa

REGISTRAR.

BV.

Explanatory Memo.

Previous Reference.	Present reference.	Remarks.
No.C.991/26-27 dated 15-9-1927.	No.C.423/27-28 dated 22-10-1927.	Out of the 21 additional hands proposed by the Laws Delays Committee, 2 hands were intended for the Chief Court. In addition to these, 4 temporary hands were asked for consequent upon the appointment of the Fourth Judge, but only 2 hands were sanctioned. On account of Inspection work and general congestion of work in the Chief Court, 4 hands out of 21 additional hands sanctioned to the Subordinate Courts have been taken. When the present congestion is removed, some of these hands will be sent back to the Subordinate courts wherever they may be required. Although the 21 new hands are on 25-1-30, in reallocating 4 hands on 30-2-40 each are taken to the Chief Court and the corresponding number in the lower grade are given to Subordinate Courts.
(a).	Re: Inclusion of 4 additional hands on 30-2-40 each for the Chief Court.	

(b)

(b) Annual Extra Cost Rs. 25893.

Present extra cost Rs.25863. Difference Rs.30/- less.

Out of 21 Court Nazirs, 1 is on Rs.40/- and the remaining 20 were taken to be on 30-1-35 while sending proposals with this office No. ROC.991/26-27 dated 15-9-27. But on further scrutiny it was found that the court Nazirs of 2 courts viz., at Davangere and Kolar are on a fixed pay of Rs.35/- each. The total present cost had been shown less by 2½ Rs.(35 - 33½ is 1½ x 2 is 2½). It is now correctly given showing the extra cost less by Rs.2½ per month or Rs.30/- per annum.

(c) Savings of about Rs.400/- per annum referred to in the covering letter No.ROC.423 of 27-28 dated 22-10-1927 forwarding the new allocation statements.

In this office No.991/26-27 dated 13-6-27, proposals were sent for 3 hands on (40),(35) and (30) for the additional Subordinate Judge's court at Mysore. Again for the additional Sub Judge's Court at Bangalore now located at Shimoga, 2 hands on (40) and (35) had been proposed. On further consideration as already observed in the letter, the number of hands for these courts were fixed as follows:-

Sub Judge's Court, Mysore ---- 2 hands (40), and (35).
-do- Shimoga ---- 2 hands (40), and (30)

thereby showing a savings of Rs.35/- a month or Rs.420/- per annum.

Registrar.

RV.

Statement showing the present scale of Process Establishment and the Scale as now proposed for each of the Munsiff's Courts in the State.

PRESENT SCALE.				PROPOSED SCALE.						
						PAY.				
No.	Designation.	Pay	Total Cost.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Rate of Increase	Maximum.	Average Cost.	REMARKS.

EXISTING PERMANENT COURTS.

First Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.

1.	Process Nazir.	40	40	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50 ($46\frac{4}{4}$)	$46\frac{1}{2}$		
2.	1st Grade Amins.	23	46	8	Amins	20	1	26 ($24\frac{2}{5}$)	195 1/5		
8	2nd Grade - do -	20	160								
6	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	78	21	Process Servers	11	* $\frac{1}{2}$	18 ($15\frac{4}{5}$)	319 1/5	* Increment is at the rate of 8 annas per year.	
18	2nd Grade - do -	11	198								
Total: 35	-	-	522	30	-	-	-	-	560 $\frac{13}{20}$		

1	Process Nazir.	40	40	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46 ½
4	1st Grade Amins	23	92	9	Amins.	20	1	26	219 3/5
8	2nd Grade Amins.	20	160						
8	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	104	24	Process Servers.	11	½	18	364 7/15
25	2nd Grade - do -	11	275						
46	-	-	671	34	-				630 13/20

MUNSIFF'S COURT, Dodballapur.

1	Process Nazir.	35	35	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46½
1	1st Grade Amin.	23	23	5	Amins.	20	1	26	122
5	2nd Grade Amins.	20	100						
4	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	52	14	Process Servers	11	½	18	212 4/5
11	2nd Grade - do -	11	121						
22	-	-	331	20					381 1/20

MUNSIFF'S COURT, K O L A R.

1	Process Nazir.	40	40	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46½
2	1st Grade Amins.	23	46	7	Amins.	20	1	26	170 4/5
5	2nd Grade - do -	20	100						
5	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	65	20	Process Servers	11	½	18	304
15	2nd Grade - do -	11	165						
28	-	-	416	28	-	-	-	-	521 1/20

MUNSIFF'S COURT, KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

1	Process Nazir.	35	35	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46¼
1	1st Grade Amin.	23	23	5	Amins.	20	1	26	122
4	2nd Grade Amins.	20	80						
2	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	26	14	Process Servers	11	½	18	212 ⅘
12	2nd Grade - do -	11	132						
	Local Allowance.		27		Local Allowance.				27
20	-	-	296	20	-	-	-	-	381 1/20
	Local Allowance:		27		Local Allowance:				27

MUNSIFF'S COURT, CHIKBALLAPUR.

1	Process Nazir.	35	35	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46¼
2	1st Grade Amins.	23	46	8	Amins.	20	1	26	195 1/5
6	2nd Grade - do -	20	120						
4	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	52	21	Process Servers	11	½	18	319 1/5
17	2nd Grade - do -	11	187						
30	-	-	440	30	-	-	-	-	560 13/20

FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT, T U M K U R.

1	Process Nazir.	35	35	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46½
1	1st Grade Amin.	23	23	5	Amins.	20	1	26	122
4	2nd Grade Amins.	20	80						
2	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	26	14	Process Servers	11	½	18	212 4/5
12	2nd Grade - do -	11	132						
20	-	-	296	20	-	-	-	-	381 1/20

N.B. Temporary Establishment consisting of 1 Amin on (20), 1 Process Server on (13) and 2 Process Servers on (11) is attached to this court.

Temporary Establishment continues.

SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT, T U M K U R.

1	Process Nazir.	35	35	1	Process Nazir.	35	3	50	46½
1	1st Grade Amin.	23	23	7	Amins.	20	1	26	170 4/5
6	2nd Grade Amins.	20	120						
3	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	39	18	Process Servers	11	1	18	273 3/5
15	2nd Grade - do -	11	165						
26	-	-	382	26	-	-	-	-	490 13/20

KVN/8.

MUNSIFF'S COURT, MADDAGIRI.

1.	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
1	1st Grade Amin	23	23	5	Amins	20	1	26	122
4	2nd Grade Amins	20	80	.	...	.	.	..	.....
2.	1st Grade Process servers	13	26	13	Process Servers	11	½	18	197 3/5.
11.	2nd Grade Process servers.	11	121	.	...	.	.	..	.....
19			285	19					365 17/20

VSM.

FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT, MYSORE.

1.	Process Nazir	40	40	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50 ~~35~~	46 1/4
2.	1st Grade Amins	23	46	6	Amins	20	1	26	146 2/5
4.	2nd ~~1st~~ Grade Amins	20	80	.	...	..	...	..	....
7.	1st Grade Process servers.	13	91	25	Process Servers.	11	1/2	18	380
21.	~~2~~2nd Grade Process servers.	11	231	.	...	..	...	..	...
55			488	32					572 13/20

VSM.

SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT, MYSORE.

1.	Process Nazir	40	40	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	$46\frac{1}{4}$
4.	1st Grade Amins	23	92	6	Amins	20	1	26	$146\frac{2}{5}$
8.	2nd Grade Amins	20	160	.	...	..	.	..	...
9.	1st Grade Process servers.	13	117	24	Process servers	11	$\frac{1}{2}$	18	$364\frac{4}{5}$
29.	2nd Grade Process servers	11	319	.	...	..	.	..	...
	51		728	31					$557\frac{9}{20}$

VSM.

MUNSIFF'S COURT, SERINGAPATAM.

1.	Process Nazir	40	40	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
3.	1st Grade Amins.	23	69	5	Amins	20	1	26	122
9.	2nd Grade Amins.	20	180	.	...	..	.	..	...
7.	1st Grade Process servers.	13	91	18	Process Servers	11	½	18	273⅗
25.	2nd Grade Process servers.	11	275	.	..	..	.	..	...
45			655	24					441 17/20

VSM.

MUNSIFF'S COURT, HARJANGUD.

1.	Process Nazir	40	40	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46¼
4.	1st Grade Amins.	23	92	10	Amins	20	1	25	244
6.	2nd Grade Amins.	20	120	.	...	..	.	..	...
9.	1st Grade Processers servers	13	117	26	Process servers	11	½	18	395 1/5
17.	2nd Grade Process servers.	11	187	.	...	..	.	..	...
37.			556	37					685 9/20

VEN.

MUNSIFF'S COURT, HASSAN.

1.	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
2.	1st Grade Amins.	23	46	7	Amins	20	1	26	170 4/5
4.	2nd Grade Amins.	20	80	.	...	..	.	..	...
6.	1st Grade Process servers.	13	78	25	Process servers	11	½	15	380
18.	2nd Grade Process servers.	11	198						
31			437	33					597 1/20

VSM.

MUNSIFF'S COURT, HOLENARASIPUR.

1.	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
1.	1st Grade Amin	23	23	7	Amins	20	1	26	170 4/5
5.	2nd Grade Amins	20	100	.	...	..	.	..	...
5.	1st Grade Process servers.	13	65	21	Process Servers.	11	½	18	319 1/5
14.	2nd Grade Process servers.	11	154	.	...	..	.	..	...
26			377	29					536½

VSM.

1	Process Nazir	40	40	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46¼
5	1st Grade Amins	23	115	14	Amins	20	1	26	341 3/5
9	2nd -Do-	20	180						
9	1st Grade Process Servers.	13	117	34	Process Servers	11	½	18	516 4/5
25	2nd -Do-	11	275						
49			727	49					904 13/20

TKV/4.

169

1	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46¼
2	1st Grade Amins	23	46	7	Amins	20	1	26	170⅘
5	2nd -Do-	20	100						
5	1st Grade Process Servers	13	65	22	Process Servers	11	½	18	334⅖
17	2nd -Do-	11	187						
30			433	30					551Ⅸ

TKV/4.

1	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46¼
1	1st Grade Amin	23	23	6	Amins	20	1	26	146 2/5
5	2nd -Do-	20	100						
4	1st Grade Process Servers	13	52	21	Process Servers	11	½	18	319 1/5
17	2nd -Do-	11	187						
28			397	28					511 17/20

1	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
1	1st Grade Amin	23	23	5	Amins	20	1	26	122
4	2nd -Do-	20	80						
4	1st Grade Process Servers	13	52	16	Process Servers	11	½	18	243 1/5
12	2nd -Do-	11	132						
22			322	22					411 9/20

TKV/4

1	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
2	1st Grade Amins	23	46	5	Amins	20	1	26	122
3	2nd -Do-	20	60						
3	1st Grade Process Servers	13	39	12	Process Servers	11	½	18	182 2/5
9	2nd -Do-	11	99						
18			279	18					350 13/20

TKY/4.

1	Process Nazir	35	35	1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
2	1st Grade Amins	23	46	6	Amins	20	1	26	$146^{2}/_{5}$
4	2nd -Do-	20	80						
3	1st Grade Process Servers	13	39	12	Process Servers	11	½	18	$182^{2}/_{5}$
9	2nd -Do-	11	99						
19			299	19					$375^{1}/_{20}$

TKV/4.

(Court newly sanctioned) MUNSIFF'S COURT, CLOSEPET. 126

1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
8	Amins	20	1	26	195⅙
18	Process Servers	11	½	18	273⅗
27					515 1/20

TKV/4.

1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
6	Amins	30	1	26	146⅔
18	Process Servers	11	½	18	273⅗
25					466½

TKV/4.

1	Process Nazir	35	3	50	46½
7	Amins	28	1	26	170⁴/₅
18	Process Servers	11	½	18	273³/₅
26					490¹³/₂₀

	Present.		Revised.		
		Rs.		Rs.	
1	Cost of 21 existing courts per month.	9,364	1) Cost of 21 existing courts per month.	11833 9/20	Per Existing 21 Courts:- Rs. 11833 9/20 (Revised) 9364 (Existing) Extra cost per month Rs. 2469 9/20 x 12 -Do- per annum Rs. 29633 2/5
			2) Cost of 3 new courts established.	433 19/20	Cost of 3 new courts per month 433 19/20 x 12 -Do- Per annum Rs5207 2/5
			Total for 24 courts.	12267 3/5	Total net extra cost per month .. 2903 2/5 x 12 -do- per annum Rs. 34,840 4/5
			The cost herein indicated does not include the special locality allowance.		

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.
Dated 22nd October 1927.

TKV/4.

REGISTRAR.

EXPLANATORY MEMO.

(X). PROCESS ESTABLISHMENTS:- ... ... The Process Establishments of the Courts affected by the change of territorial jurisdiction have been revised and the number of hands reallocated. The number of hands recommended in this Office No. 991 of 1926-27 dated 13-6-27 for the new Permanent Courts has not been exceeded, but the number required by them is made up by transferring some hands from the Existing Permanent Courts whose territorial jurisdiction has been reduced.

REGISTRAR.

KVN/-

Ck 263 26-6

Read:-

G.O. No. P. 5239-53/Cts 263-26-1 dated 4-4-1927 sanctioning the establishment of (1) an additional temporary District Judge's Court and an additional temporary Sub Judge's Court at Bangalore for periods of 1 year and 2 years respectively (2) one permanent Small Cause Court at Bangalore and one temporary Small Cause Court at Mysore (3) 3 permanent Munsiffs Courts at Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur and (4) three temporary Munsiff's Courts to be located in places to be decided, for a period of one year.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. Roc. 991 dated 13-6-27 from the Registrar Chief Court submitting proposals for the clerical and menial establishments etc., for the additional Civil Courts ordered above.

3. G,O. No. P 1497-8 /Cts 68-27-1 dated 14-9-27 directing that instead of establishing a District Judge's Court at Bangalore, a fourth Judge may be appointed for the Chief Court for a period of one year.

4. G.O. No. P. /Cts dated directing that the Sub Judge's Court ordered to be established at Bangalore be located at Shimoga in the first instance and directing that the 3 temporary Munsiff's Courts be located respectively (1) at Bangalore (ii) at

130

Shimoga for 6 months and them transfered to to Sagar and (iii) at Chitaldrug for 6 months and then transfered to Davangere.

Order No. P2325-695-11-27

Govt. are pleased to sanction the clerical and menial establishment as stated below for the various additional courts ~~as shown below~~ ref? below:-

(1) Additional Sub Judge's Court Shimoga (temporary)

1 clerk on Rs. 40 p.m.			
1 Do	Rs. ~~35~~ 30 p.m.		Rs. ~~900~~ 840
	Rs. ~~75~~ 70		
1 mutchi	Rs. 13		
1 daffedar	Rs. 12		Rs. 528
1 peon	Rs. 10		
1 peon	Rs. 9		
	Rs. 44		
			Rs. 1428 ~~1368~~

(2) Small Cause Court, Mysore (temporary) ~~A 3rd Sub judge's court~~

1 clerk on Rs. 40			
1	Rs. 35		Rs. 1260 900
1 ~~Rs. 30~~			
	Rs 105	75	
1 Mutchi	Rs. 13		
1 Daffedar	Rs. 12		Rs. 528
1 Peon	Rs. 10		
1 Peon	Rs. 9		
	Rs. 44		Rs. 1788 ~~1428~~

(3) Temporary Munsiff's Courts 3 in all

3 clerks on Rs. 35 -			105	Rs. 2340
3 clerks on Rs. 30			90	
			195	
Daffedars	3	on Rs. 11	33	
Peons	3	10	30	Rs. 1080
Peons	3	9	27	
			90	Rs. 3420

(4) Small Cause Court, Bangalore (Permanent)

Clerks				
1	60-5-80	60	minimum	
2	45-3-60	90	"	Rs. 4740
4	30-2-40	120	"	
5	25-1-30	125	"	
		Rs. 395		
1 Mutchi on Rs. 13				
1 Daffedar Rs. 12				Rs. 648
Peons 2 on Rs 10-20				
1 Peon on Rs. 9				
		54		Rs.5388

minimum is taken into consideration as officials newly to be appointed will draw minimum pay during the current official year.

(5) Munsiff's Courts at Mandya, Hunsur and Closepet (permanent)

Court Establishment

Head Munshis	3	(45-3-60)	135	minimum
Clerks	12	(30-2-40)	360	"
Do	15	(25-1-30)	375	"
			870	10440
Bollars	3	(10)	30	
Daffedars	3	(11)	33	
Peons	3	(10)	30	1440
Peons	3	(9)	27	
			120	Rs. 11880

from the offices shown above for deduction

Deduct the cost of the present clericial and menial establishments of the Additional Munsiff's Courts at Bangalore and Mysore already made permanent which will be transferred to Closepet and Mandya respectively.

This amount will be 2520 Rs less than the most expensive alternative 49360.

Process Establishment

			B.F. 9360
Process Nazirs	3(35-3-50)	105	
Amins	4(20-1-26)	80	3936
Servers	13(11-½-18)	143	
		328	Rs. 13296

2. A sum of Rs. 75/- per annum is sanctioned towards contingencies for each of the temporary courts. For the Small Cause Court at Bangalore a sum of Rs. 100 per annum is sanctioned for contingencies. For the Munsiff's Courts at Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur annual contingent amount of Rs. 75/- for each is sanctioned together with a sum of Rs.25/- per annum to each of the process establishments.

3. A sum of Rs. 1000 is sanctioned for each of the permanent courts towards furniture and for all the temporary courts a lumpsum of Rs. 3000 for furniture is sanctioned.

4. The total cost amounting to Rs. 33095 32675 will be met out of the provision made for Judicial Reforms in the current year's Budget.

5. The establishment ~~is expected to be on duty a week earlier so that the new courts may commence work on the due date i.e. 14-10-1927.~~ with effect from the 14th Oct

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.

" Comptroller through Financial Secretariat

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 2325-6—Cts. 263-26-6, dated 5th November 1927.

Clerical and Menial Establishment for the Additional Civil Courts.

Passes orders *re* ——.

READ—

Government Order No. P. 5239-53—Cts. 263-26-1, dated 4th April 1927, sanctioning the permanent or the temporary establishment of a District Judge's Court, a Sub-Judge's Court, two Small Cause Courts and Munsiff's Courts.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. Roc. 991, dated 13th June 1927, from the Registrar, Chief Court, submitting proposals for the clerical and menial establishments, etc., for the additional Civil Courts ordered above.

3. Government Order No. P. 1497-8—Cts. 68-27-1, dated 14th September 1927, directing that instead of a District Judge's Court a fourth Judge may be appointed for the Chief Court for a period of one year.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. Roc. 423—27-28, dated 22nd October 1927, from the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding a Courtwar allocation statement of the hands proposed in their letter dated 13th June 1927, and stating that in the present allocation a clerk on Rs. 30 has been retrenched from the temporary Sub-Judge's Court, Mysore and that the pay of the second clerk of the temporary Sub-Judge's Court, Shimoga has been reduced from Rs. 35 to Rs. 30 per mensem.

ORDER NO. P. 2325-6—CTS. 263-26-6, DATED 5TH NOVEMBER 1927.

Government are pleased to sanction the clerical and menial establishment as shown below for the various additional courts referred to above :—

(1) Additional Sub-Judge's Court, Shimoga (temporary)—

		Rs.	Rs.
1 Clerk on	...	... 40 per mensem ...	840
1 Clerk on	...	... 30 do ...	
		70	
1 Mutchi on	...	... 13 ...	528
1 Daffedar on	...	... 12 ...	
1 Peon on	...	... 10 ...	
1 Peon on	...	... 9	
		44	1,368

(2) Additional Sub-Judge's Court, Mysore (temporary)—

		Rs.	Rs.
1 Clerk on	..	... 40 ...	900
1 Clerk on	...	... 35 ...	
		75	
1 Mutchi on	...	... 13 ...	528
1 Daffedar	...	... 12 ...	
1 Peon on	...	... 10 ...	
1 Peon on	...	... 9 ...	
		44	1,428

(3) Temporary Munsiffs' Courts three in all—

		Rs.	Rs.
3 Clerks on Rs. 35	...	... 105	
3 Clerks on Rs. 30	...	... 90	... 2,340
		195	
Daffedars 3 on all Rs. 11		... 33	
Peons 3 Rs. 10		... 30	... 1,080
Peons 3 Rs. 9		... 27	
		90	3,420

(4) Small Cause Court, Bangalore (permanent)—

	Rs.	Rs.
Clerks 1 Rs. 60—4—80	... 60 minimum	
2 Rs. 45—3—60	... 90 minimum	... 4,740
4 Rs. 30—2—40	... 120 minimum	
5 Rs. 25—1—30	... 125 minimum	
	395	
1 Mutchi on ..	... 13	
1 Daffedar ...	... 12	... 648
2 Peons on ...	...10, 20	
1 Peon on ...	.. 9	
	54	5,388

(5) Munsiffs' Courts at Mandya, Hunsur and Closepet (permanent)—

Court Establishment—

	Rs.	Rs.
Head Munshis 3 (Rs. 45—3—60)	.. 135 minimum	
Clerks 12 (Rs. 30—2—40)	... 360 minimum	... 10,440
Clerks 15 (Rs. 25—1—30)	... 375 minimum	
	870	
	Rs.	Rs.
Gollars 3 (Rs. 10) on ...	... 30	
Daffedars 3 (Rs. 11) ...	... 33	... 1,440
Peon 3 (Rs. 10) ...	... 30	
Peons 3 (Rs. 9) ...	... 27	
	120	... 11,880

From the expenditure shown above has to be deducted the cost of the present clerical and menial establishments of the Additional Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore and Mysore already made permanent which will be transferred to Closepet and Mandya respectively.

	Rs.
This amount will be Rs. 2,520 so that the net expenditure on the above is Rs. 9,360	9,360

Process Establishment.

		Rs.	Rs.
Process Nazirs 3 (Rs. 35—3—50)...		Rs. 105 minimum	..
Amins	4 (Rs. 20—1—26)...	Rs. 80 minimum	.. 3,936
Servers	13 (Rs. 11—½—18).	Rs. 143 minimum	..
		328	13,296

No. P. 2325-6—Cts. 263-26-6, dated 5th November 1927.

2. A sum of Rs. 75 per annum is sanctioned towards contingencies for each of the temporary courts. For the Small Cause Court at Bangalore a sum of Rs. 100 per annum is sanctioned for contingencies. For the Munsiffs' Courts at Closepet, Mandya and Hunsur an annual contingent amount of Rs. 75 for each is sanctioned together with a sum of Rs. 25 per annum to each of the process establishments.

3. A sum of Rs. 1,000 is sanctioned for each of the permanent courts towards furniture and for all the temporary courts a lumpsum of Rs. 3,000 for furniture is sanctioned.

4. The total cost amounting to Rs. 32,675 will be met out of the provision made for Judicial Reforms in the current year's budget.

5. The appointments will take effect from the 14th October 1927.

B. Nagappa,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.
The Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

WD 1115—GPB—480—19-11-27